Characterization of a transmission positron/positronium converter for antihydrogen production
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In this work a characterization study of forward emission from a thin, meso-structured silica positron/positronium (Ps) converter following implantation of positrons in light of possible antihydrogen production.
1. Introduction

Positronium (Ps) [1,2] is a purely leptonic, bound state of an electron and its antiparticle, the positron (e+). It lends itself to a range of fields as a key testing ground; for studies of QED [3], astrophysics [4], and the characterization of porous materials [5]. Ps can exist in two states: the singlet state, parapositronium (p-Ps, total spin 0, formation probability 1/4) or in the triplet state, orthopositronium (o-Ps, total spin 1, formation probability 3/4). In vacuum, Ps predominantly decays into 2 γ-rays with a mean lifetime of 125 ps, while o-Ps decays into 3 γ-rays with a mean lifetime of 142 ns.

Many experiments require the availability of a large amount of cold o-Ps, including antihydrogen beam production for gravitational measurements [6–8], gravitational experiments on o-Ps [9,10], Bose–Einstein condensation of o-Ps [11], and the production of di-positronium molecules [12].

Ps can be obtained by implanting positrons with an energy of a few keV into solids [13,14]. In case of metals and semiconductors, Ps can only be formed by thermal and epithermal positrons reaching the surface [15]. In insulators, on the other hand, Ps can form in the bulk, diffuse to the surface and be emitted into vacuum, or be trapped in a nano-sized pore. If the nanoporosities are connected to the surface, however, o-Ps can move along the pores losing a fraction of its energy by collisions with the walls and reach the vacuum. In silica o-Ps is formed with an energy of 1–3 eV [16] and can escape into vacuum with an energy distribution ranging from a fraction of eV to thermal energy, depending on the length of its path and the structure of the nanoporosities. The lifetime of a fraction of o-Ps is shortened in the nanoporosities by pick-off annihilation, in which the positron of the o-Ps annihilates with an electron of the walls of the pores into 2 γ-rays. Porous silica has proved to be a good choice for converting positrons into cold positronium due to the large Ps yield in the bulk and on the porous surface, combined with the relatively efficient cooling of o-Ps by collisions with the walls of the pores [17–19].

Until now, most experiments have focused on o-Ps formation in reflection geometry, i.e. o-Ps emitted from the same surface into which positrons are implanted [20,21]. Recently, thin meso-structured silica film targets have been developed in order to obtain Ps in transmission geometry, i.e. o-Ps emitted from the opposite side of the target with respect to the positron implantation [22–24].

The transmission geometry holds great promise in all experiments where o-Ps has to be transported, like tests of the gravitational free-fall of o-Ps [10] or charge exchange production of cold antihydrogen (in which o-Ps atoms excited to Rydberg levels – to enhance the cross section of the reaction – interact with an antiproton plasma) [7]. Although transmission targets are not yet competitive with reflection targets in terms of Ps production and cooling efficiency, they offer potential advantages. In the case of antihydrogen production, the reaction efficiency would benefit from an enhancement of the geometrical overlap between antiprotons and o-Ps, granted by transmission e+/Ps converters with respect to reflection targets [7]. A possible scheme would be the following: after filling antiprotons into a Penning-Malmberg trap, a transmission e+/Ps converter would be mechanically moved from outside the electrode stack and inserted upstream, in the proximity of the first electrode of the trap. Subsequently, a positron pulse would be implanted in the target, and forward-emitted o-Ps would react with the antiproton plasma after excitation to Rydberg states [25,26]. A transmission target can be placed closer to the antiproton cloud with respect to a reflection target, providing a greater geometrical overlap between antiprotons and o-Ps.

Unfortunately, Ps is not the only species forward-emitted by the converter. Due to its limited thickness, a fraction of positrons are expected to cross the target after partial thermalization. Moreover, secondary electrons are produced by e+ interaction with the material and possibly emitted by the target [27,28]. The presence of charged particles emitted in the direction of the antiprotons could pose a problem for the stability of the plasma; it could heat up by interaction with positrons and electrons [29]. This, in turn, could affect the charge exchange reaction and the characteristics of the produced antihydrogen [6].

In this work, we have characterized a transmission e+/Ps converter for its possible application in antihydrogen production. Three different techniques were used to investigate the Ps yield and the forward emission of charged particles. First, the Ps emission was studied via Single Shot Positron Annihilation Lifetime Spectroscopy (SSPALS) measurements. SSPALS is a measurement of the time distribution of annihilation gamma rays resulting from implantation of an intense positron bunch [30]. Secondly, the forward emission of positrons and electrons was investigated with a micro-channel plate (MCP) – phosphor screen assembly connected to a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera for imaging of impinging particles. Thirdly, the same MCP – phosphor screen assembly was coupled to a fast photomultiplier tube (PMT) to extract information about the time of flight. A strategy to avoid interaction between charged particles and the antiproton plasma in future antihydrogen production is suggested.

2. Experimental setup

In the present experiment, bunches containing up to 3 – 4 · 10^7 positrons (estimated using a calibrated CsI detector coupled to photodiodes [31]) were implanted in the transmission e+/Ps converter. Positron bunches were produced using the AEgIS positron system located at the Antiproton Decelerator (AD) ring at CERN. The system is described in detail elsewhere [31,32]. Briefly, positrons emitted by a 50 mCi 22Na source were moderated by a solid Ne film [33] and prepared by a Surko-style target [34] and accumu-
lator. After rotating-wall compression [35], positrons were bunched and magnetically transported towards a trap system of 24 electrodes, where they were recompressed into a pulse of less than 10 ns length and accelerated onto the $\text{e}^+/\text{Ps}$ converter. In the present experiment, positron implantation energies of either 3.3 keV or 4.5 keV were used.

The $\text{e}^+/\text{Ps}$ converter studied here is similar to those described in Refs. [22–24]. It is composed of an ultraporous meso-structured silica film ($\sim0.4$ g/cm$^3$) e-gun evaporated onto a 20 nm carbon foil by glancing angle deposition [36]. The foil was tilted by 12 degrees and rotated 6 turns per minute during the deposition. The thickness along the silica deposition path was measured to be 1050 nm, corresponding to a target thickness of about 750 nm (due to the tilt and the porosity of the target). Images of the target structure were obtained by a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Fig. 1). Our choice of rotation speed results in columns standing at a right angle to the surface (Fig. 1a). The active area available is a circle with 8 mm diameter. With respect to the target described in [23], the thickness is similar, the rotation speed is the same, while the increase in the angle of deposition is expected to produce slightly smaller diameter columns, with the distance between their walls being the same within 20% [24].

In order to characterize the behavior of the particles emitted by the target after positron implantation, three different techniques were applied. The measurement configurations can be seen in Fig. 2:

(i) SSPALS was used to study o-Ps formation and emission from the investigated target both in transmission and reflection geometries (Fig. 2). The fraction of o-Ps formed per impinging positron can be estimated from the SSPALS spectrum, in which the detection of delayed gamma rays can be attributed to o-Ps annihilations;

(ii) an MCP – phosphor screen assembly coupled to a CCD camera was employed to image forward-emitted charged particles impinging on the MCP surface;

(iii) the velocity of forward-emitted charged particles was estimated via time-of-flight (TOF) measurements making use of an MCP – phosphor screen assembly coupled to a fast PMT.

SSPALS measurements were performed by using a PbWO$_4$ scintillator ($25 \times 25 \times 20$ mm$^3$) coupled to a Hamamatsu R11265-100 PMT. This setup was used to detect the annihilation radiation produced by the intense prompt positron burst as well as from delayed o-Ps self-annihilations. The anode signal from the PMT was divided using a 50 $\Omega$ – splitter (Mini-Circuits ZFRSC-2050B).
and was sent into two channels of a 1 GHz bandwidth, 12-bit oscilloscope (Lecroy HDO6104) terminated on 50 Ω. One channel, with a vertical scale of 2 V/div (low gain), was used to acquire the prompt peak, the other, with a scale of 200 mV/div (high gain), was used to record the long, low intensity tail of the signal in order to limit digital noise [37]. Moreover, the high frequency noise of the low gain channel was reduced using a low-pass filter with a cut-off frequency of 100 MHz. The waveforms of the two channels were recorded by a computer and automatically merged to give the SSPALS spectra. The detector was placed above the sample holder at a vertical distance of 4 cm from the target center. The relative position of target to detector was fixed in all measurements to maintain a constant solid angle of the o-Ps cloud as seen by the detector (see Fig. 3). An MCP assembly (Hamamatsu F2222-21P25 – Phosphor Screen P46) was used to characterize the spot generated by charged particles downstream of the target. The distance between the MCP surface and the target was set to either 0.8 cm or 3 cm. The pulse was imaged on the phosphor screen of the MCP assembly with a CCD camera. A bias potential in the range between –800 V and +200 V was applied to the surface of the MCP to select the charge of detected particles (see electrical scheme in Fig. 2). To ensure a constant gain, a constant voltage difference was held between the MCP surface (V_{sur}) and the back (V_{sur} + 1400 V) and between the MCP surface and the phosphor screen (V_{sur} + 4200 V). The voltage difference was kept low enough to avoid image intensity saturation. The detection efficiency of the MCP for electrons impinging perpendicularly to the surface with an energy of hundreds of eV ranges from 50% to 85% [38], while for gamma rays with an energy of the order of 511 keV it is expected to be lower than about 0.5% [39]. In the presence of a similar number of electrons and gamma rays, the contribution of the latter is negligible. A SIMION 8 [40] code was used to simulate the positron transport and to verify that the focusing of positrons was not affected by changing the position of the target with respect to the MCP. The expected spot widths obtained with the target at 0.8 and 3 cm from the MCP surface are shown in Fig. 3a and b, respectively. The simulations used a positron implantation energy of 3.3 keV. No appreciable differences arise from changing the target position; in both cases, the dimension of the spot is of the order of 4–5 mm FWTM.

A second PMT (Hamamatsu R11265-100), replacing the CCD camera, was placed close to the viewport facing the MCP assembly and used to detect light produced on the phosphor screen by the charges impinging on the MCP surface. The time distribution of the PMT response is given by the arrival of the emitted charged particles at the MCP. As these particles emerge from the target almost simultaneously with the implanted positron bunch, the time distribution of the PMT signal represents a measurement of the particles’ time-of-flight distribution. Knowing this distribution and the distance the particles travel, their kinetic energy can be calculated. This measurement was performed placing the target 3 cm from the MCP surface. The MCP introduces a roughly constant charge impinging on the MCP surface has a quasi-instantaneous excitation when reached by electrons produced by the MCP [38], while the decay time is of the order of hundreds of nanoseconds (10% decay time from around 100 ns up to several hundreds of ns, depending on the time distribution of the impinging particles).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. SSPALS measurements

SSPALS measurements were performed both in transmission and in reflection configurations by placing the target at 0.8 or 3 cm from the MCP surface and moving the detector position accordingly in order to keep its solid angle constant with respect to the target center. Two implantation energies were used: 3.3 keV and 4.5 keV. Positrons stop in materials according to the Makhovian distribution [41]. Assuming a density of 2 g/cm$^3$ for the carbon layer and 0.4 g/cm$^3$ for the mesoporous silica, around 2% of positrons implanted with an energy of 3.3 keV are expected to stop in the carbon and 65% in the silica layer in transmission configuration (Fig. 2a), while the percentages are 57% in porous SiO$_2$ and 10% in C in reflection geometry (Fig. 2b). These data are reported in Table 1. The remaining positrons are not fully stopped in the target [41]; they lose only a fraction of their initial energy by scattering processes inside the target. Only a small fraction is not re-emitted: those reaching the surface with an energy lower than the positron work function of the material, i.e. around +1.5 eV for carbon [42] and around +3 eV for mesoporous silica [16].

In the SiO$_2$ structure Ps is formed either in the bulk or at the surface. A significant fraction of the incoming positrons is emitted from the surface as Ps, with energies ranging from approximately...
1 to 3 eV [16]. In porous silica Ps is known to undergo diffusion in the pores and cool down as a consequence of collisions with the internal surfaces. Accordingly, Ps emitted from the porous network have significantly less energy than those emitted from the surface. From mesoporous silica targets similar to those used here, a longitudinal (transverse) emission energy of the order of 0.3–0.4 eV (0.2–0.3 eV) in transmission and 0.3–0.7 eV (0.2–0.4 eV) in reflection has been measured [23]. Phonon-assisted emission of Ps from a graphite surface has also been observed [43]. Here, the emission is expected to occur mainly perpendicularly to the surface with an energy cutoff corresponding to the negative of the Ps work function, ~0.6 eV.

The fraction of incident positrons forming o-Ps can be estimated by SSPALS spectra [44]. The spectra, as shown in Fig. 4, contain a prompt peak, due to very rapid annihilation of both positrons and p-Ps, followed by delayed events that correspond to long-lived o-Ps, i.e. o-Ps in-flight self-annihilation and late o-Ps pick-off annihilation. After subtraction of a background spectrum measured by implanting positrons onto the MCP surface (target removed), where no Ps is formed, the fraction of delayed Ps, $f_d$, can be calculated using the definition adopted in Ref. [23] to yield comparable results:

$$f_d = \frac{\int_{350 \text{ns}}^{1500 \text{ns}} V(t) dt}{\int_{100 \text{ns}}^{1500 \text{ns}} V(t) dt},$$

where $V(t)$ is the measured detector voltage at time $t$. All of the signal left after background subtraction (electrical noise, after-pulses of the PMT, intrinsic decay time of the crystal etc.) is due to delayed annihilations. At times longer than 35 ns after the positron implantation, only o-Ps can still be present and generate a signal. Based on the shot-by-shot fluctuations of the SSPALS curves, the error on all $f_d$ values subsequently reported in this article is estimated to be of the order of $\pm 0.007 \text{(stat)} \pm 0.003 \text{(sys)}$.

We analyze the SSPALS data with a positron implantation energy of 3.3 keV, first in the transmission configuration. When the MCP is 0.8 cm away from the target, forward-emitted Ps from the mesoporous silica structure (assuming the velocity measured for Ps in a similar target [23]) should reach the MCP surface in less than 10–20 ns, where they annihilate via pick-off. Therefore, this component cannot be distinguished from the prompt peak in the SSPALS spectrum. We find $f_d = 0.03$ in this configuration; it stems from both the fraction of Ps emitted backward from the carbon layer (annihilating in vacuum) and the fraction of Ps annihilating inside the silica layer. Backward-emitted Ps can travel 5.6 cm before reaching the surface of the last electrode [26], allowing enough time for it to self-annihilate in flight and thus be recorded in the time window selected to calculate $f_d$, adopted from Ref. [23] for comparable results.

Increasing the distance between the target and the MCP to 3 cm, also Ps emitted forward from the silica layer can annihilate in vacuum within the selected time window. As a consequence, the $f_d$ value increases to 0.07 (see Table 1). This value could be a slight underestimate of the fraction of Ps, because the very fast Ps emitted from both sides of the target could reach the MCP or the chamber walls within 35 ns, which is the lower threshold of $f_d$. This is true for Ps emitted forward (from the silica layer) with velocities higher than $16.4 \text{ cm/s} \sim 8.6 \times 10^3 \text{ m/s}$, corresponding to 4.2 eV, and for Ps emitted backward (from the carbon foil) with velocities higher than $14.6 \text{ cm/s} \sim 9.7 \times 10^3 \text{ m/s}$, corresponding to 5.3 eV; they do not contribute to $f_d$. Thus, in this configuration we expect that the fraction of Ps annihilating in vacuum on the carbon side plus the fraction annihilating inside the silica is <0.03, as less Ps emitted from the carbon self-annihilate in vacuum with respect to the result with an MCP-target distance of 0.8 cm. From the two measurements we can estimate, by simple subtraction, that the lower bound on the fraction of Ps emitted from the silica layer is $f_d = 0.04$.

In reflection geometry, the main contribution to a Ps signal always comes from Ps annihilating in silica and from Ps emitted into vacuum from silica. More positrons stop in the carbon layer and less in the silica here with respect to the transmission

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction of $e^-$ stopped in C</th>
<th>0.02</th>
<th>0.10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of $e^-$ stopped in SiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_d$, distance 0.8 cm</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_d$, distance 3 cm</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
configuration, which in general would lead to a lower Ps production. With the MCP at 0.8 cm, all Ps emitted from the carbon layer annihilate on the MCP within a short time, and they are thus not recorded in the selected time window. However, of the Ps emitted from the silica (which is the main fraction of created Ps), less is recorded in transmission mode than in reflection configuration, as the free-flight distance is slightly smaller (3 cm versus 3.4 cm). Given these considerations, the value we find, \( f_d = 0.07 \), is in fair agreement with that found in transmission configuration when the MCP and the target are 3 cm apart (see Table 1). With the MCP and target 3 cm apart, a fraction of Ps emitted from the carbon film is measurable in the time window and we observe a slight increase; \( f_d = 0.08 \).

The observed values, both in transmission and in reflection, are in reasonable agreement with the fraction of o-Ps measured via SSPALS for a similar target and positron implantation energies in an other experiment [23], where a delayed fraction \( f_d \sim 0.06 \) and 0.08–0.09 was found for transmission and reflection configurations, respectively.

By increasing the positron implantation energy to 4.5 keV, the delayed Ps fraction, with the target and the MCP 3 cm apart, decreases from \( f_d = 0.07 \) to \( f_d = 0.03 \) in transmission mode (Fig. 5a) and from \( f_d = 0.08 \) to \( f_d = 0.04 \) in reflection mode (Fig. 5b). The decrease of Ps formation (by roughly a factor 2) with respect to that at 3.3 keV is due to the reduced number of positrons stopped in the target. Indeed, according to calculations based on the Makhovian profile, with an implantation energy of 4.5 keV, up to 66% of the \( \epsilon^+ \) are not stopped in the target (at 3.3 keV the percentage is 33%). In reflection mode, 26% and 7% of \( \epsilon^+ \) stop in SiO\(_2\) and C, respectively, while in transmission mode the percentages are 33% and 1% (see Table 2).

### 3.2. Imaging of charged particles

The radial distributions of positrons crossing the target and of forward-emitted electrons, produced by \( \epsilon^+ \) slowing down in the material, were imaged with the MCP placed 0.8 cm downstream of the \( \epsilon^+ /\text{Ps} \) converter. As shown in Refs. [45,46], the MCP is only sensitive to Ps faster than several eV, excluding the possibility of imaging slow Ps. The shape and intensity of the spot was investigated as a function of the MCP surface potential, varied between \(-800 \text{ V} \) and \(+200 \text{ V}\), to distinguish between contributions from positrons and electrons with different energies. The scans performed when implanting positrons with 3.3 keV and with the target in transmission and reflection configurations are reported in Figs. 6 and 7, respectively.

In transmission mode, an intense spot was observed for potentials on the MCP surface higher than \(+100 \text{ V}\) (Fig. 6). With a bias lower than \(+100 \text{ V}\) or negative, a fainter, spread-out signal was visible. No significant changes were observed when varying the potential from \(+50 \text{ V}\) to \(-800 \text{ V}\).

The intense spot for positive potentials is due to forward-emitted secondary electrons produced by positrons implanted in the target. Electrons released by positron impact can be emitted into vacuum if they reach the surface before their energy becomes lower than the electron work function of the material [27,28]. In SiO\(_2\), the electron work function is quite high, 10–12 eV [16]. Therefore, only hot electrons produced in silica can overcome the surface barrier and leave the target. The emission energy of almost all these electrons is expected to range from a fraction of eV to some tens of eV; emission of secondary electrons with an energy higher than a few hundreds of eV is negligible [27,28]. As the columnar silica structure is highly irregular, the electrons have no preferred emission direction. Consequently, \( \epsilon^- \) are focused on the central part of the MCP only when an attractive potential of more than \(+50 \text{ V}\) is present on its surface. At potentials between 0 and \(+50 \text{ V}\) they are spread over a large solid angle and their contribution to the signal on the MCP is not clearly distinguishable from that of positrons (see Section 3.3).

The faint image still present at lower voltages on the MCP surface is due to positrons crossing the target, as discussed in the previous paragraph. No changes in the shape and intensity of the image can be observed when varying the bias on the MCP surface.
from +50 V down to −800 V. As a consequence we can deduce that positrons crossing the target are neither reflected nor deflected by this potential, therefore their energy has to be higher than 800 eV.

A rough estimate of the number of positrons crossing the target and secondary electrons produced by the impact of the primary $e^+$ bunch can be obtained by analyzing the intensity of the spot on the MCP assembly. The intensity of the spot is expected to scale linearly with the number of particles in the case of beams reaching the MCP with the same divergence [47,48].

When around $3 \cdot 4 \cdot 10^7$ positrons with an energy of 3.3 keV are implanted directly onto the MCP (at 0 V bias potential, last image of Fig. 6), an integral intensity of the spot of around 27 Mquanta/pixel ($= 27 \cdot 10^6$ photons per pixel) was observed.Implanting the same number of positrons in the target, arranged in transmission mode, with a negative potential set on the MCP surface (Fig. 6) generated a spot intensity of about 2.5 Mquanta/pixel. In both cases the images are due to positrons with an energy of the order of keV (see Section 3.3). Therefore, we estimate that at least $2.8 \cdot 3.7 \cdot 10^6$ positrons reach the MCP after crossing the target in transmission mode when implanted with 3.3 keV, corresponding to about 10% of the implanted positrons.

According to the SIMION simulation, positrons of the primary bunch are expected to impact the MCP surface with an average angle of $5 \cdot 10^1$. On the other hand, considering the dispersion in the images of Fig. 6 acquired with a negative bias, it is evident that positrons scattered through the target are diffused with angles up
to at least $50^\circ$ (given by the distance between the target and the MCP, 0.8 cm, and the dimension of the MCP, 20 mm diameter). Positrons scattered at large angles from the target and impinging on the peripheral region of the MCP are detected with lower efficiency with respect to positrons impacting the center of the MCP (i.e. with a low incident angle, like in the case of the primary bunch); an increase of the incident angle from $10^\circ$ to $40^\circ$ introduces a decrease of the detection efficiency of the MCP of about 30% [38]. Since we do not know the angular distribution of the scattered positrons, only a lower bound on the fraction of positrons crossing the target with a scattering angle smaller than $50^\circ$ was found.

When a bias of $-200$ V was set on the MCP surface, electrons were attracted and focused. The intensity of the spot increased up to 9.5 quanta-pixel. Taking into account that the light produced by a positron impinging on the MCP is around half that generated by an electron with the same energy [48], the observed intensity corresponds at least to the impact of $\approx 5.3 \cdot 10^6$ electrons.

The presented analysis to discriminate crossing positrons from secondary electrons is confirmed by the evolution of the spot as a function of the MCP surface potential when the target is in reflection configuration (Fig. 7).

In reflection configuration, the intense spot due to secondary electrons was observed for positive potentials. The contribution due to electrons vanishes for negative voltages on the MCP surface. The reflection of electrons with a bias of $-200$ V is in agreement with the expected energy of secondary electrons forward-emitted from thin (5–23 nm) carbon films after 1–20 keV positron implantation, which is less than 100 eV as reported in Ref. [28]. Electrons emitted from the carbon side produce a spot well distinguishable from the one due to $e^+$, even if no attractive potential is present on the MCP surface. This was not the case for secondary electrons emitted by the mesoporous silica layer in the transmission configuration. The observation can be ascribed to the regular structure of carbon, which allows emission of electrons closer to perpendicular to the surface than for emission from the irregular silica.

Also in reflection configuration, when the bias on the MCP is negative, the fainter, spread signal given by transmitted positrons is present and no changes were observed when varying the potential between $-200$ and $-800$ V. This indicates that also in reflection geometry, the crossing positrons have an energy in excess of 800 eV.

### 3.3. Time-of-flight measurements of charged particles

In order to determine the kinetic energy of the charged particles emitted by the converter after positron implantation, TOF measurements were performed. Positrons with an energy of 3.3 keV were implanted in the target, placed 3 cm upstream of the MCP, for both transmission and reflection configurations. A third measurement was done by implanting positrons directly onto the MCP surface. A fast PMT coupled to the viewport facing the MCP assembly (Figs. 2 and 3) was used to detect light produced on the phosphor screen. The time distribution of the PMT signal is determined by the time of flight of the forward-emitted charged particles after positron implantation in the target. All measurements were carried out with 0 V on the MCP surface in order to avoid selective acceleration of particles based on their charge. The TOF measurements are shown in logarithmic scale in Fig. 8, while a detail of the rising edge is displayed in linear scale in Fig. 9. In order to compare the shapes of the curves, their peak amplitudes have been normalized.

When positrons are implanted directly onto the MCP a first peak, simultaneous with the prompt peak of the SSPALS measurements is observed, with a rise time of around 5 ns. This first peak, produced by implanted positrons, is arbitrarily centered at $t = 0$. A broader bump can be distinguished between 10–15 ns up to around 40–50 ns. This second structure can be interpreted as the signal generated by secondary electrons produced by the primary positron bunch hitting the MCP surface. The resulting signal is convoluted with the intrinsic decay time of the phosphor fluorescence. For the phosphor used here the 10% decay time is around 100 ns.

Both in transmission and in reflection configurations, the shapes of the spectra are similar to the one observed with direct implantation of positrons on the MCP, with a first peak due to direct positron implantation and a bump with a slow decrease due to electrons, which reach the MCP at a later time since they originate from the implanted positrons. In addition to secondary electrons produced on the MCP surface by positrons crossing the target (like in the direct positron implantation measurement), electrons released by $e^-$ interaction in the target contribute to the bump.

Looking in detail at the positron peak of the transmission and reflection signals (Fig. 9), the rising edge is delayed by around 1–2 ns with respect to direct positron implantation on the MCP. Moreover, the peak is broadened, with a full width at two-thirds maximum increasing from $\approx 8$ ns (direct $e^-$ implantation on the MCP) to $\approx 11$ ns (transmission and reflection configurations). The delayed rising edge is due to the deceleration of positrons crossing the converter, while the broadening of the peak is attributable to the energy spread induced by the scattering of $e^-$ in the target. Knowing the distance between the target and the MCP (3 cm), and the difference in the rise time of the signals, we can estimate that the fastest positrons slow down from 3.3 keV (direct $e^-$ implantation onto the MCP) to $\approx 1.2$ keV (with the target arranged both in transmission and reflection configurations).

The broad bumps generated by secondary electrons are more pronounced in transmission/reflection configurations than in the case of direct $e^-$ implantation on the MCP. This is due to electrons released from the target. The bump starts to be distinguishable from the positron peak at around 20 ns and the following tails reach the noise level only at around 300–400 ns (Fig. 8). Given the distance of 3 cm between the target and the MCP, the

![Fig. 8. Time distribution of light produced on the phosphor screen by charged particles impinging on the MCP surface: as the result of one positron bunch implanted directly onto the MCP; in the target in transmission mode; in the target in reflection mode. Positrons were implanted with an energy of 3.3 keV. The target (both in reflection and in transmission configurations) was placed 3 cm from the surface of the MCP.](image-url)
corresponding kinetic energy for the electrons ranges from a fraction of eV up to few eV (100 ns TOF corresponds to a kinetic energy of \(-0.3\) eV, while 50 ns TOF corresponds to a kinetic energy of \(\sim 1\) eV), in good agreement with previous measurements performed by implanting \(e^+\) with an energy ranging from 1–20 keV in thin carbon foils [28].

The presence of the small peak at around 15 ns could be related to the existence of a minor secondary effect in the electron emission, which will require further investigation.

3.4. Possible application of transmission targets in antihydrogen production

In the context of using transmission \(e^+/Ps\) converters for antihydrogen production, it will be necessary to avoid interaction between the antiproton plasma, stored downstream of the target in a Penning-Malmberg trap, and the charged particles forward-emitted by the target. This may be achieved by inserting a set of grids with high transmission coefficients downstream of the converter, very near its surface. The first grid, closest to the target, should be positively polarized in order to reflect crossing positrons directly after their re-emission. The second grid, immediately following, should be set at a negative potential to repel secondary electrons. Moreover, this should be the same potential as on the first electrode of the trap, in order to have a region with no electric field downstream this grid. Ground state o-Ps can thus cross the two grids without being affected by the electric field and subsequently be excited to Rydberg states in the field-free region [49].

According to the measurements reported in Sections 3.2 and 3.3, the electrons can be repelled by setting some tens of volts on the negative grid. The energy of the forward-emitted positrons is estimated to be around \(1.2\) keV. Thus, to efficiently reflect \(e^+\), the positive grid should be set at a potential of the order of a couple of kV. In an ultrahigh vacuum environment, the breakdown voltage is well above this potential already for an electrode at a distance less than 1 mm [50]. Due to the short path the Ps cloud will have to travel to cross the grids, its resulting expansion is limited.

In order to estimate the geometrical overlap between the emitted Ps and the lasers exciting it to Rydberg states, a Monte Carlo simulation was made. Ps was assumed to be isotropically emitted from a circular region of 2 mm in diameter with a Maxwellian energy distribution of a given temperature. The lasers were shot grazing the target, as well as 2 mm away from it to simulate the space occupied by the set of grids. The laser pulses were shot after 16 ns and 28 ns in the first and the second case, respectively. Their spot dimension was assumed to be 6 mm in the vertical direction and 4 mm in the horizontal one (corresponding to the FWHMs of the main laser in Ref. [26]). If the average temperature of the emitted Ps is around 1300 K (as measured in reflection targets [26]), the geometrical overlap between the Ps cloud and the laser beams is reduced by about a factor 2.8 for the case the laser beams are shifted by 2 mm downstream with respect to the target-grazing case (Fig. 10a). This reduction in the overlap can be mitigated by decreasing the dimension of the Ps source or by increasing the transversal dimension of the laser spot (Fig. 10b). An increase of the beam waist by some tens of percent is possible without reducing the excitation efficiency when the considered transition is saturated or near saturation, like in Ref. [26].

If the average temperature of Ps is \(\sim 6000\) K (as in transmission targets similar to the one used here [23]), the fraction of geometrically addressable Ps is around 2.7 times that of the 2 mm-scenario when the laser beams graze the target surface (Fig. 10c). Also in this case, the increase of the beam waist can help address a larger fraction of Ps (Fig. 10d).

While the necessity of the grids to repel forward-emitted charged particles is expected to reduce the number of Ps in Rydberg states by approximately a factor two, the possibility to place the target closer to the antiproton plasma would largely compensate for this. Indeed, from the considerations above, in transmission configuration, the target can be placed at a distance less than \(1\) cm from the antiproton cloud. This is a considerable reduction with respect to the \(\sim 2\) cm achievable in the reflection configuration [7], assuring an increase of the geometrical overlap between excited Ps and the antiproton plasma up to a factor 4. Thus, if transmission targets with Ps emission and cooling efficiencies similar to the ones of present reflection targets will be developed, this will be a direct gain. An additional advantage could come from the shape of the antiproton plasma that is typically an oblate, with the dimension transversal to the trap axis smaller than the longitudinal one. This supports a larger overlap between the Ps and the antiprotons in the transmission configuration, as more of the Ps traverses the plasma along the major axis, while in reflection configuration most of the Ps traverses the cloud along the minor axis.

4. Conclusion

In the presented work, a transmission positron/positronium converter composed by thin, ultraporous, meso-structured silica deposited on a 20 nm carbon foil was characterized. The emission of o-Ps both in transmission and in reflection was confirmed via SSPALS measurements. The amount of delayed o-Ps was found to be in agreement with previous work on similar targets [23]. This is consistent with a maximum o-Ps formation fraction in transmission of around 10%, as estimated for similar targets in other works [22,23].

Charged particles forward-emitted by the converter were imaged using an MCP – phosphor assembly coupled to a CCD camera placed behind the target. A bias potential ranging from \(-800\) up to \(+200\) V was set on the MCP surface to distinguish crossing positrons from secondary electrons. The kinetic energy of these charged particles was estimated by detecting the light produced on the phosphor screen due to charged particles impinging on the MCP surface with a fast PMT. A lower bound of \(\sim 10\) eV for the fraction of positrons, implanted with an energy of 3.3 keV, able to cross the target and be forward-emitted with a scattering angle smaller than 50°, was found. The maximum kinetic energy of this crossing fraction was estimated to be \(\sim 1.2\) keV. The presence of such a fast component can be explained by a large fraction of positrons crossing the target after experiencing a limited number...
of scatterings in the carbon layer and the silica structure, thus losing just a small fraction of their energy. Furthermore, as a result of around $3 \times 10^7$ positrons implanted in the target, at least $5 - 7 \times 10^6$ secondary electrons were forward-emitted with a kinetic energy of the order of an electronvolt. Reducing the positron implantation energy is expected to slightly decrease the amount of fast crossing positrons and secondary electrons, but their presence cannot be completely avoided, due to the modest thickness of the carbon foil as well as the mesoporous structure of the silica layer [28].

In the context of using transmission $e^+$/Ps converters for antihydrogen production, it is necessary to avoid interaction between charged particles forward-emitted by the target and the antiproton plasma; this could be achieved by using a set of polarized grids.

Fig. 10. Monte Carlo simulation of the geometrical overlap between Ps atoms emitted by the target and laser beams for Rydberg excitation. Red (blue) spots represent Ps atoms that are addressable by target-grazing lasers ($2 \text{ mm-distant lasers}$) for an average Ps temperature of $1300 \text{ K}$ (a and b) and $6000 \text{ K}$ (c and d). The enhancement of the geometrical overlap given by the increase of the laser spot in the vertical direction by 50% is shown in (b) and (d). The ratios of the geometrical overlaps of the scenarios target-grazing lasers and 2 mm-distant lasers are also reported for the different configurations.
with high transmission coefficients, placed downstream of the converter.

A first study of a transmission e+/PS converter for the application of antihydrogen production has been successfully carried out. Thanks to the mentioned credible advantages of the transmission configuration over the reflection configuration, the reported results are promising for the possibility to apply such targets to antihydrogen production in the future. However, the amount of cold positronium available for the charge-exchange reaction is key for antihydrogen production, and more developments will be necessary to reach the present efficiency, both in terms of positronium production and cooling, of reflection targets. There is room for improvements in this direction by changes in the structure of the meso-porous silica and the thickness of the carbon foil. A study of the positronium resulting from transmission targets grown with different parameters remains to be done. Work in this direction is planned, involving both converter development and alterations to the experimental setup in order to facilitate such measurements.
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