

Stakeholder Engagement and Environmental Disclosure via Facebook, the Case of Italian Local Governments

Davide Tonoli¹ and Diego Paredi^{2*}

¹Independent researcher, Italy

²Department of Economics and Management, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

ABSTRACT

Delving on an empirical research conducted in Italy, this commentary highlights the use of Facebook by Italian Local Governments (LGOs) in order to disclose environmental information towards their stakeholders and it examines their reactions and their engagement.

*Corresponding author

Diego Paredi, Department of Economics and Management, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy. E-mail: diego.paredi@unibs.it

Received: July 01, 2021; **Accepted:** July 07, 2021, **Published:** July 15, 2021

Introduction

The Social Media (SM) channels have not only transformed communication but also have also become an integral part of everyday life [1]. Following this trend, scholars highlighted the need of further and alternative channels to divulge nonfinancial information and to promote stakeholder engagement by companies or institutions [2, 3]. As a result, organizations are increasingly embracing SM to share their sustainability progress and achievements with stakeholders [4, 5, 6]. Concerning the public sphere, there is a new promise and similar high expectation for SM platforms in government; researchers recognize technology as a challenge facing the future of public administration [7, 8]. In fact, citizens will progressively expect government to allow them a greater voice in the decision-making process with these channels and the new generation of public administrators is expecting access to these SM platforms as tools for cooperating with citizens and others players as they make decisions and evaluate performance [9,10]. Within the Italian public sector, it is valuable to analyze the role of LGOs regarding the use of SM platforms to divulge nonfinancial information and to improve stakeholder engagement with citizens and all the other actors. Indeed, in Italy, LGOs are the closest institutions to citizens and their actions generate a direct impact on communities and environment. The use of traditional nonfinancial disclosure tools (e.g. sustainability reports) by LGOs is decreasing [11]. The main hurdles for their use are cost reductions for LGOs that represent an obstruction to sustainability report preparation. Thus, scholars suggest that governments can exploit SM platforms to increase transparency, support collaboration and enable innovative systems of public participation and engagement [12].

Subject

Concerning the aforementioned themes, Rocca et al. have recently developed a study to deep our knowledge about the Italian LGOs'

capability of nonfinancial disclosure and fostering of stakeholder engagement using SM [13]. Indeed, the studies conducted so far have not analyzed the level of stakeholder interaction that characterizes the use of SM by LGOs [14]. The authors have built their research on legitimacy theory and dialogical accountability perspective. As far it concerns the second approach, SM platforms could be seen as tools supporting the dialogical accountability system thanks the possibility of citizens to express judgments/opinions/needs/interests about organizations via SM. Thus, SM platforms could partially have the characteristics of Habermas' "ideal public sphere" because they have the "the potential to increase the symmetrical communication" [8,18]. The aim of the study is, more precisely, to examine Italian LGOs' use of Facebook in disclosing environmental information as a new way to improve accountability to citizens and to analyze the related sentiment of citizens' judgements through Sentiment Analysis (SA). This methodological technique involves the study of the emotions contained in a textual message. It is also called opinion mining and it uses computational methods to automatically analyze human opinions, sentiments and evaluations of entities [15]. The database used for the study is Lexicon Database [16]. The research takes into consideration all the Italian municipalities with a population of more than 100,000 inhabitants. The total number of posts considered in the analysis is 5,939. The newest posts analyzed were published on July, 30th 2018. In carrying out the SA, the authors analyze the environmental disclosure through five classes: air, energy, territory, waste and water. In addition, they define the quantification of public sentiment towards environmental disclosure in three macro-categories (negative, neutral and positive). The results of the research show that the prevailing sentiment is negative. In fact, for every class, more than 50% of comments express a feeling of dissatisfaction. The lowest value for positive emotion is related to the air class (19%). In contrast, the energy class has the greatest number of positive comments (38%),

and it is the class regarding which stakeholders are more active on the platform. Moreover, the low number of responses by LGOs' Facebook accounts suggests that the engagement stakeholder level is still quite low and that governments implement mainly the "one-way communication".

Conclusion

From the point of view of managerial implications, the findings of the study of Rocca et al. suggests that LGOs should foster the use of SM aimed at pursuing an effective stakeholder engagement with their interlocutors. Then, it would be desirable for LGOs to re-think deeply on the role that SM should assume in the future within the organizations' strategy. Nowadays, the LGOs' platforms are not involved in a "two-way communication" strategy but they are used as an information channel. Moreover, the low number of answers to public comments underlines also the need for a cultural change in public-sector governments that are still poorly prepared on the issue of participation and engagement. In fact, the role of the public administrator should change from that of a neutral expert towards becoming a facilitator of participation and engagement [17]. Stakeholder engagement is therefore necessary to reach consensus between such different stakeholders [18]. This involvement can be more easily obtained thanks to the use of SM. Finally, the choice of using a SM platform to discuss environmental issues – activity not compulsory – could be seen as a chance to talk to citizens on the subject in a shared "public sphere". The process is in its infancy but the potentiality to create a co-accountability scenario in sustainability matter is confirmed to be high.

References

1. McCay-Peet L, Quan-Haase A (2017) What is social media and what questions can social media research help us answer? , The SAGE Handbook of Social Media Research Methods 13-26.
2. Suarez-Rico Y M, Garcia-Benau M A, Gomez-Villegas M (2019) CSR communication through Facebook in the Latin American integrated market (MILA): Disclosure, interactivity and legitimacy. *Meditari Accountancy Research* 27: 741-760.
3. Romero S, Ruiz S, Fernandez-Feijoo B (2018) Sustainability reporting and stakeholder engagement in Spain: Different instruments, different quality. *Bus Strat Env* 28: 221-232.
4. Manetti G and Bellucci M (2016) The use of social media for engaging stakeholders in sustainability reporting. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal* 29: 985-1011.
5. Reilly A H, Hynan K A (2014) Corporate communication, sustainability, and social media: it's not easy (really) being green. *Business Horizons* 57: 747-758.
6. Giacomini D, Zola P, Paredi D, and Mazzoleni M (2020) Environmental disclosure and stakeholder engagement via social media: State of the art and potential in public utilities. *Corp Soc Responsib Environ Manag* <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/csr.1904>.
7. Zavattaro S (2013) Social media in public administration's future: A response to Farazmand. *Administration & Society* 45: 242-255.
8. Knox CC (2016). Public administrators' use of social media platforms: overcoming the legitimacy dilemma?. *Administration and Society* 48: 477-496.
9. Bryer TA (2011) The costs of democratization: Social media adaptation challenges within government agencies. *Administrative Theory & Praxis* 33: 341-361.
10. Bretschneider SI, Mergel I (2011) Technology and public management information systems. In D. C. Menzel & H. L. White (Eds.), *The state of public administration: Issues, challenges, and opportunities* Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe http://samples.jbpub.com/9780763784607/84607_CH01_FINAL.pdf.
11. Giacomini D, Rocca L, Carini C, Mazzoleni M (2018) Overcoming the barriers to the diffusion of sustainability reporting in Italian LGOs: Better stick or carrot? *Sustainability* 10: 131.
12. Mergel I (2013) A framework for interpreting social media interactions in the public sector. *Government Information Quarterly* 30: 327-334.
13. Rocca L, Giacomini D, Zola P (2020) Environmental disclosure and sentiment analysis: state of the art and opportunities for public sector organisations. *Meditari Accountancy Research*. <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/MEDAR-09-2019-0563/full/html>.
14. Reddick C G, Chatfield A T, Ojo A (2017). A social media text analytics framework for double-loop learning for citizen-centric public services: a case study of a local government Facebook use. *Government Information Quarterly* 34: 110-125.
15. Liu B (2012). Sentiment analysis and opinion mining. *Synthesis Lectures on Human Language Technologies* 5: 1-167.
16. Mohammad SM, Turney PD (2010) Emotions evoked by common words and phrases: Using mechanical turk to create an emotion lexicon. *Proceedings of the NAACL HLT 2010 workshop on computational approaches to analysis and generation of emotion in text*, Association for Computational Linguistics. 26-34.
17. Brainard L, McNutt J (2010) Virtual government–citizen relations. *Administration and Society*. 42: 836-858.
18. Manetti G, Bellucci M, Bagnoli L (2017) Stakeholder engagement and public information through social media: a study of Canadian and American public transportation agencies. *The American Review of Public Administration* 47: 991-1009.

Copyright: ©2021 Diego Paredi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.