Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol. 7, 2013, no. 21, 1005 - 1010 HIKARI Ltd, www.m-hikari.com

Existence of Maximal Elements of Semicontinuous Preorders

Gianni Bosi

Dipartimento di Scienze Economiche, Aziendali Matematiche e Statistiche "*Bruno de Finetti*", Università di Trieste Piazzale Europa 1, 34127 Trieste, Italy

Magalì E. Zuanon

Dipartimento di Metodi Quantitativi Università degli Studi di Brescia Contrada Santa Chiara 50, 25122 Brescia, Italy

Copyright © 2013 Gianni Bosi and Magalì E. Zuanon. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

We discuss the existence of an upper semicontinuous multi-utility representation of a preorder \preceq on a topological space (X, τ) . We then prove that every weakly upper semicontinuous preorder \preceq is extended by an upper semicontinuous preorder and use this fact in order to show that every weakly upper semicontinuous preorder on a compact topological space admits a maximal element.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 06A06; 54F05; 91B16

Keywords: Upper semicontinuous multi-utility representation; weakly upper semicontinuous preorder; maximal element

1 Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the existence of maximal elements for weakly semicontinuous preorders on a compact topological space. A preorder \preceq on a topological space (X, τ) is said to be *weakly upper semicontinuous* (see e.g. Herden and Levin [10]) if for all $x, y \in X$ such that $x \prec y$ there exists an upper semicontinuous increasing real valued function f_{xy} such that $f_{xy}(x) < f_{xy}(y)$. Weakly (semi)continuous preorders were first introduced by Herden and Pallack [9] and then they were studied by Bosi and Herden[4, 5].

In order to prove the main result of this paper, according to which a weakly upper semicontinuous preorder on a compact topological space admits a maximal element, we use arguments concerning the *semicontinuous multi-utility* representation of preorders.

A preorder \preceq on a topological space (X, τ) is said to have a *semicontinuous* multi-utility representation if a family \mathcal{F} of upper semicontinuous real-valued increasing functions can be chosen in such a way that $x \preceq y$ if and only if $f(x) \leq f(y)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$. The multi-utility representation of a preorder was fist introduced by Dubra, Maccheroni and Ok [7] in the context of expected utility and then it was studied by Evren and Ok [8] in a very rich paper concerning the topological context, following the finite case studied by Ok [11]. A more recent contribution has been provided by Bosi and Herden [6].

It is important to notice that weak upper semicontinuity is necessary for both the existence of a semicontinuous multi-utility representation and the existence of an upper semicontinuous *Richter-Peleg utility* (see Richter [13] and Peleg [12]). This observation motivates our interest in this kind of semicontinuity, which has been also recently considered by Herden and Levin [10] in connection with the existence of (semi)continuous utilities.

2 Notation and preliminaries

Let \preceq be a preorder (i.e., a *reflexive* and *transitive* binary relation) on a set X. As usual, the strict part of \preceq will be denoted by \prec . Then we set for every point $x \in X$ the following subsets of X:

$$l(x) = \{ y \in X \mid y \prec x \}, \ r(x) = \{ z \in X \mid x \prec z \},$$
$$d(x) = \{ y \in X \mid y \preceq x \}, \ i(x) = \{ z \in X \mid x \preceq z \}.$$

A subset D of X is said to be *decreasing* if $d(x) \subset D$ for all $x \in D$. By duality the concept of an *increasing* subset I of X is defined.

If (X, \preceq) is a preordered set, then a function $u : (X, \preceq) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \leq)$ is said to be

- (i) increasing if, for every $x, y \in X$, $[x \preceq y \Rightarrow u(x) \le u(y)]$,
- (ii) order-preserving if it is increasing and, for every $x, y \in X$, $[x \prec y \Rightarrow u(x) < u(y)].$

In the economic literature, an order-preserving function is often referred to as a *Richter-Peleg utility function* (see e.g. Richter [13]). Denote by τ_{nat} the *natural topology* on the real line \mathbb{R} .

A preorder \preceq on a topological space (X, τ) is said to be *weakly upper* semicontinuous if for every pair $(x, y) \in \prec$ there exists some open decreasing subset O of X such that $x \in O$ and $y \in X \setminus O$. One verifies immediately that a preorder \preceq on (X, τ) is weakly upper semicontinuous if and only if for every pair $(x, y) \in \prec$ there exists an upper semicontinuous isotone (increasing) function $f: (X, \preceq, \tau) \longmapsto (\mathbb{R}, \leq, \tau_{nat})$ such that f(x) < f(y). Indeed, let O be some open decreasing subset of X such that $x \in O$ and $y \in X \setminus O$. Then one may define the desired upper semicontinuous isotone function $f: (X, \preceq, \tau) \longmapsto$ $(\mathbb{R}, \leq, \tau_{nat})$ by setting for all $z \in X$:

$$f(z) := \begin{cases} 0 & if \ z \in O \\ 1 & if \ z \in X \setminus 0 \end{cases}$$

A preorder \preceq on (X, τ) is said to satisfy *scmp* (*semicontinuous multi-utility* representation property) if a family \mathcal{F} of upper semicontinuous real-valued increasing functions can be chosen in such a way that $x \preceq y$ if and only if $f(x) \leq f(y)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Further, following the terminology adopted by Ever and Ok [8], we say that a preorder \preceq on a topolgical space (X, τ) is upper semicontinuous if $i(x) = \{z \in X \mid x \preceq z\}$ is a closed subset of X for every $x \in X$.

It is easily seen that an upper semicontinuous preorder is also weakly upper semicontinuous (see Herden and Levin [10]). Further, weak upper semicontinuity of a preorder is a necessary condition for the existence of an upper semicontinuous Richter-Peleg utility representation and also for the validity of scmp.

3 Semicontinuous multi-utilities and existence of maximal elements

Evren and Ok [8, Proposition 2] proved that every upper semicontinuous preorder \preceq on a topological space (X, τ) satisfies scmp. This result can be slightly improved as follows.

Proposition 3.1 Let \preceq be a preorder on a topological space (X, τ) . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(i) \precsim satisfies scmp.

- (ii) \precsim is upper semicontinuous.
- (iii) For every $x, y \in X$ such that $not(y \preceq x)$ there exists an open decreasing subset O_{xy} of X such that $x \in O_{xy}, y \notin O_{xy}$;
- (iv) For every $x, y \in X$ such that $not(y \preceq x)$ there exists an upper semicontinuous increasing function $f_{xy}: (X, \preceq, \tau) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \leq, \tau_{nat})$ such that $f_{xy}(x) < f_{xy}(y)$.
- (v) \preceq is weakly upper semicontinuous and for every $x, y \in X$ such that not $(y \preceq x)$ and not $(x \preceq y)$ there exist two upper semicontinuous increasing functions $f_{xy}, g_{xy} : (X, \preceq, \tau) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \leq, \tau_{nat})$ such that $f_{xy}(x) < f_{xy}(y)$ and $g_{xy}(x) > g_{xy}(y)$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Assume that \preceq satisfies scmp. If for two elements $x, z \in X$ we have that $z \in X \setminus i(x)$, then there exists an upper semicontinuous increasing function $f: (X, \preceq, \tau) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \leq, \tau_{nat})$ such that f(z) < f(x) and therefore $f^{-1}(-\infty, f(x))$ is an open decreasing subset of X containing z such that $f^{-1}(-\infty, f(x)) \cap i(x) = \emptyset$. Therefore i(x) is closed for every $x \in X$. (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). For two elements $x, y \in X$ such that $not(y \preceq x)$ we have that $O_{xy} = X \setminus i(y)$ is an open decreasing subset of X such that $x \in O_{xy}, y \notin O_{xy}$. (iii) \Rightarrow (iv). For two elements $x, y \in X$ such that $not(y \preceq x) \Leftrightarrow x \notin i(y)$ the desired upper semicontinuous increasing function $f_{xy}: (X, \preceq, \tau) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \leq, \tau_{nat})$ such that $f_{xy}(x) < f_{xy}(y)$ can be defined by setting for all $z \in X$:

$$f_{xy}(z) := \begin{cases} 0 & if \ z \in O_{xy} \\ 1 & if \ z \in X \setminus O_{xy} \end{cases}$$

,

where O_{xy} is an open decreasing subset of X with the indicated property. (iv) \Rightarrow (v). Immediate, since $not(y \preceq x)$ means that either $x \prec y$ or $not(y \preceq x)$ and $not(x \preceq y)$. (v) \Rightarrow (i). Immediate.

Evren and Ok [8, Application after Proposition 2] observe that every upper smicontinuous preorder \preceq on a topological space (X, τ) admits a maximal element (that is, there is an element $x \in X$ such that $x \prec y$ holds for no $y \in X$). They underlines the fact that this is a folk theorem that is well known unless they do not know who to attribute this result, which is very close to other famous theorems about the existence of maximal elements for binary relations on compact sets (see e.g., Bergstrom [3] and Alcantud [1]). The proof of this theorem is rather simple based on arguments that are perfectly analogous to those provided by Bergstrom in his classical theorem. Indeed, we can consider that, if \preceq is an upper semicontinuous preorder on a topological space (X, τ) , then

$$l^{0}(x) = X \setminus i(x) = \bigcup \{ f^{-1}(-\infty, f(x)) : f \text{ is upper semicontinuous increasing} \}$$

is an open decreasing subset of X containing $l(x) = \{y \in X \mid y \prec x\}$ and not containing x.

We provide a generalization of this result by using the arguments of the previous section. Before presenting a lemma, we recall that a preorder \preceq on a set X is said to be extended by a preorder \lesssim on X if $\preceq \subset \lesssim$ and $\prec \subset <$.

Lemma 3.2 Every weakly upper semicontinuous preorder \preceq on a topological space (X, τ) is extended by an upper semicontinuous preorder \lesssim .

Proof. Let \preceq be a weakly upper semicontinuous preorder on (X, τ) . Then we denote by $F(\preceq)$ the set of all upper semicontinuous increasing functions $f: (X, \preceq, \tau) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \leq, \tau_{nat})$ and set

$$\lesssim := \{ (x, y) \in X \times X \mid \forall f \in F(\preceq) \ (f(x) \le f(y)) \}.$$

Clearly, \leq is a weakly upper semicontinuous preorder on (X, τ) such that $\leq \subset \leq$ and $\prec \subset <$. Hence, it suffices to verify that \leq is upper semicontinuous. Let, therefore, (x, y) be a pair of $X \times X$ such that $not(y \leq x)$. Then there exists some function $f \in F(\preccurlyeq)$ such that f(x) < f(y). The upper semicontinuity of f guarantees that $f^{-1}(-\infty, f(y))$ is an open decreasing subset of X such that $x \in f^{-1}(-\infty, f(y)), y \notin f^{-1}(-\infty, f(y))$.

The following theorem is now an easy consequence.

Theorem 3.3 Let \preceq be a weakly upper semicontinuous preorder on a compact topological space (X, τ) . Then there exists a maximal element for \preceq .

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, the weakly upper semicontinuous preorder \preceq on (X, τ) is extended by an upper semicontinuous preorder \leq , which admits a maximal element x since (X, τ) is compact. It is immediate to check that such a maximal element is also a maximal element for the preorder \preceq .

References

- [1] J.C.R. Alcantud, Characterizations of the existence of maximal elements of acyclic relations, *Economic Theory* **19** (2002), 407-416.
- [2] R. Aumann, Utility theory without the completeness axiom, *Econometrica* 30 (1962), 445-462.

- [3] T.C. Bergstrom, Maximal elements of acyclic relations on compact sets, Journal of Economic Theory 10 (1975), 403-404.
- [4] G. Bosi and G. Herden, On a strong continuous analogue of the Szpilrajn theorem and its strengthening by Dushnik and Miller, Order 22 (2005), 329-342.
- [5] G. Bosi and G. Herden, On a possible continuous analogue of the Szpilrajn theorem and its strengthening by Dushnik and Miller, Order 23 (2006), 271-296.
- [6] G. Bosi, G. Herden, Continuous multi-utility representations of preorders, Journal of Mathematical Economics 48 (2012), 212-218.
- [7] J. Dubra, F. Maccheroni and E.A. Ok, Expected utility theory without the completeness axiom, *Journal of Economic Theory* **115** (2004), 118-133.
- [8] O. Evren and E.A. Ok, On the multi-utility representation of preference relations, *Journal of Mathematical Economics* 47 (2011), 554-563.
- [9] G. Herden and A. Pallack, On the continuous analogue of the Szpilrajn theorem, *Mathematical Social Sciences* **43** (2002), 115-134.
- [10] G. Herden and V.L. Levin, Utility representation thorems for Debreu separable preorders, *Journal of Mathematical Economics* 48 (2012), 148-154.
- [11] E.A. Ok, Utility representation of an incomplete preference relation, Journal of Economic Theory 104 (2002), 429-449.
- [12] B. Peleg, Utility functions for partially ordered topological spaces, *Econo*metrica **38** (1970), 93-96.
- [13] M. Richter, Revealed preference theory, *Econometrica* **34** (1966), 635-645.

Received: January, 2013