Contents lists available at ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cattod

# Effect of soot on the storage-reduction performances of PtBa/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> LNT catalyst

## N. Artioli, R. Matarrese, L. Castoldi, L. Lietti, P. Forzatti\*

Dipartimento di Energia, Laboratory of Catalysis and Catalytic Processes and NEMAS, Centre of Excellence, Politecnico di Milano, P.zza L. da Vinci 32, Milano, Italy

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Available online 15 December 2010

#### Keywords: Soot oxidation DPNR catalysts Simultaneous NO<sub>x</sub> and soot removal Diesel particulate NO<sub>x</sub> reduction NSR catalysts

## ABSTRACT

The effect of soot on the storage-reduction performances of a PtBa/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst is investigated in this work. It is found that the presence of soot reduces the NO<sub>x</sub> storage capacity of the catalyst, evaluated in presence of water and CO<sub>2</sub> in the feed stream in the range 200–350 °C and with different values of the NO inlet concentration. Besides the presence of soot favors the decomposition and the reduction of the stored nitrates, while soot is being oxidized. A direct reaction between the stored nitrates and soot is suggested, that has been explained on the basis of the surface mobility of the adsorbed nitrates. This soot oxidation pathway involves surface species and parallels the NO<sub>2</sub>-soot oxidation that occurs in the presence of gas-phase NO<sub>2</sub>.

Finally, the presence of soot does not influence appreciably the behavior of the  $PtBa/Al_2O_3$  catalyst in the reduction by  $H_2$  of the stored nitrates: in all cases  $N_2$  is the main reaction product and ammonia is observed in minor amounts.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Diesel-equipped vehicles are considered as one of the primary sources of NO<sub>x</sub> and particulate (soot) emissions in industrialized countries. Accordingly regulations to limit their emissions are becoming very strict. In Europe, the current Euro 5 rules limit NO<sub>x</sub> and soot emissions from light-weight diesel vehicles (up to 2500 kg) at 0.18 and 0.005 g/km, respectively, but a more drastic reduction is required for NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in the upcoming Euro 6 regulations (0.08 g/km). This will require the use of exhaust after-treatment technologies able to reduce the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in addition to the soot removal technologies already set by the Euro 5 standards (the so-called diesel particulate filter, DPF) [1].

The soot removal devices, usually made by a cordierite or SiC filter, provide excellent filtration efficiency but must be periodically regenerated to remove the entrapped soot in order to avoid increased pressure drop. The active regeneration is usually performed by increasing the filter temperature (at 650 °C or more) by fuel injection so that the particulate is burnt by oxygen present in the exhaust. This procedure implies an extra fuel consumption; moreover, excessive heating can damage the filter.

Alternative solutions have been proposed to regenerate the filter at lower temperature. An example is the continuously regenerating trap (CRT) technology, proposed by Johnson Matthey [2,3], which is constituted by a catalytic system (the pre-oxidizer) that oxidizes CO and unburned hydrocarbons (UHCs), followed by a non-catalytic wall-flow filter for the particulate. The pre-oxidizer also converts NO to NO<sub>2</sub>, which then oxidizes at low temperature the particulate matter trapped and is back-reduced to NO:

$$\mathrm{NO} + (1/2)\mathrm{O}_2 \to \mathrm{NO}_2 \tag{1}$$

$$2NO_2 + C \rightarrow 2NO + CO_2 \tag{2}$$

Thanks to the high combustion activity of NO<sub>2</sub> towards soot, the wall-flow trap regeneration process is continuously performed at low temperature, without additional fuel addition. However, it must be pointed out that the efficiency of this system depends on the activity in the NO to NO<sub>2</sub> oxidation, and on the NO concentration as well. In fact, the NO<sub>x</sub> content of the exhaust may be not high enough to ensure the complete filter regeneration.

Integrated De-NO<sub>x</sub> and De-soot after-treatment technologies have also been proposed. One example is the diesel particulate-NO<sub>x</sub> reduction (DPNR) concept, recently developed by the Toyota group [4,5]. This system consists of both a new catalytic filter and a new diesel combustion technology. The new catalytic converter for DPNR is a porous ceramic wall-flow filter coated with a NO<sub>x</sub> storage reduction (NSR) catalyst layer. The catalytic material is constituted by a high surface area support (e.g.  $\gamma$ -alumina), a noble metal (Pt), and alkaline or alkaline-earth metal oxides which present a high  $NO_x$ -storage capacity. These catalytic systems work under cyclic conditions, alternating long lean periods with short regeneration periods under rich conditions. During the lean phase the NO<sub>x</sub> produced by the engine are oxidized and adsorbed on the alkaline or alkaline-earth metal oxide component (with nitrite-nitrate species formation); during the rich phase the nitrate-nitrite species are reduced to molecular nitrogen by CO, H<sub>2</sub> and UHCs. The NO<sub>x</sub>

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 02 2399 3238; fax: +39 02 7063 8173. *E-mail address:* pio.forzatti@polimi.it (P. Forzatti).

<sup>0920-5861/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.cattod.2010.10.062

storage-reduction mechanism in the DPNR system is similar to that already proposed by Toyota for NSR systems [6–9]. Soot oxidation occurs under lean conditions thanks to the presence of  $NO_x$  and the excess of oxygen in the exhaust gas; soot removal is also claimed to occur during the rich phase as well.

Whereas the behavior of NSR catalysts is well investigated [10–15], studies on DPNR systems are still scarce [16,17]. In particular, in previous works the performances of a model  $PtBa/\gamma-Al_2O_3$ catalyst in the simultaneous NO<sub>x</sub> and soot removal has been investigated [18,19]. It has been found that under cycling conditions, i.e. alternating lean-rich phases according to the typical DPNR operation, the PtBa/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sample is able to simultaneously remove soot and  $NO_x$ . It has also been shown that  $NO_x$  species stored onto the trapping component of the catalyst participate in the combustion of soot via the release of NO<sub>x</sub> upon nitrate decomposition and/or by directly reacting with soot according to a surface reaction [18,20]. Along similar lines, Kustov et al. showed that the stored nitrates may decrease the temperature of soot oxidation when nitrate decomposition occurs in a proper temperature range, due to the release of NO<sub>2</sub> in the gas phase [16]. The same authors also suggested that the presence of an oxidation function (provided e.g. by Pt) is essential [21]. Along similar lines Sullivan et al. [22] reported that the presence of a  $NO_x$  trapping component like BaO in Pt/SiO<sub>2</sub> system does not promote per se the particulate combustion, but favors soot combustion due to the increase in the NO<sub>2</sub> gas phase concentration upon nitrate decomposition. Accordingly there is a general consensus on the fact that the  $NO_x$  storage function of the NSR catalysts affects the combustion of soot.

Besides, soot may have a role on the NO<sub>x</sub> storage capacity of the catalysts as well. In a recent work we have shown that the presence of soot negatively influences the NO<sub>x</sub> storage capacity of the catalyst under representative conditions (i.e. in the presence of water and  $CO_2$  in the feed stream) [23]. The presence of soot also decreases the stability of the NO<sub>x</sub> adsorbed species, thus suggesting an interaction between soot and the stored NO<sub>x</sub>.

Aim of the present work is to provide new insights on the pathways involved in the NO<sub>x</sub> storage-reduction and soot oxidation over a model PtBa/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, and on the interactions among the related catalyst functions. For this purpose, a systematic study has been performed on the DPNR behavior of the selected catalyst sample in which the reactivity in the NO<sub>x</sub> storage-reduction and in the soot oxidation has been investigated in a wide temperature range (200–350 °C) and at different NO inlet concentrations (250–1000 ppm). Experiments have been performed under nearly isothermal conditions, i.e. in the absence of significant temperature effects upon lean/rich switches. This has been accomplished by using a low reductant concentration (4000 ppm) and by separating the lean and the rich phases by an inert purge in between.

## 2. Experimental

The PtBa/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (1/20/100, w/w/w) sample used in this study has been prepared by incipient wetness impregnation of a  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> calcined at 700 °C (Versal 250 from UOP) with a solution of Pt(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Strem Chemicals, 5% Pt in ammonium hydroxide) followed by drying at 80 °C and calcination at 500 °C for 5 h. The obtained Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sample was then impregnated with an aqueous solution of Ba(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>2</sub> (Sigma Aldrich, 99%), dried at 80 °C and further calcined at 500 °C for 5 h to prepare the ternary PtBa/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. The selected impregnation order (first Pt and then Ba) has been adopted in order to ensure a good dispersion and stability of the noble metal on the alumina support, in line with the recipes of Toyota patents [24]. The obtained catalytic powder was grinded and sieved at 70–100 µm before use.

The catalyst was characterized by XRD analysis (Brüker D8 Advanced Instrument equipped with graphite monochromator on the diffracted beam), surface area and pore size distribution by N<sub>2</sub> adsorption–desorption at 77 K (Micromeritics TriStar 3000 instrument) and Pt dispersion by hydrogen pulse chemisorption at  $0 \circ C$  (TPD/R/O 1100 Thermo Fischer Instrument).

The PtBa/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sample presents a surface area near 160 m<sup>2</sup>/g<sub>cat</sub> and pore volume of 0.8 cm<sup>3</sup>/g<sub>cat</sub>, while the Pt dispersion is close to 60%. In the XRD patterns of the freshly calcined PtBa/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst both the monoclinic (JCPDS 78-2057) and orthorhombic (Whiterite, JCPDS 5-378) polymorphic forms of BaCO<sub>3</sub> were detected in addition to microcrystalline  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (JCPDS 10-425); no other crystalline phases were observed. Further details of catalyst preparation and characterization are reported elsewhere [12,15,20,25,26].

Printex-U (Degussa) was used as model soot [27,28]. Catalyst–soot mixtures (soot loading near 10%, w/w) were prepared by gently mixing in a vial the catalyst powder with soot, thus realizing a loose contact.

All reactivity tests were performed in a flow-reactor apparatus consisting of a quartz tube reactor (7 mm i.d.) connected to a mass spectrometer (Omnistar 200, Pfeiffer Vacuum), to a micro-GC (Agilent 3000A) and to a UV analyzer (Limas 11HW, ABB) for the on-line analysis of the outlet gases (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and NH<sub>3</sub>).

66 mg of the soot–catalyst mixture composed by 60 mg of catalyst and 6 mg of soot was used in each run, and the total gas flow was always set at  $100 \text{ cm}^3/\text{min}$  (at 0 °C and 1 atm). When the pure catalyst was used, the sample weight was 60 mg.

Before the catalytic tests, the catalyst/soot mixture has been heated at 400 °C in He in order to desorb/decompose any species weakly adsorbed on the soot/catalytic surface. The NO<sub>x</sub> storage and reduction activity of the catalytic system has been studied in the presence and in the absence of soot by performing lean-rich cycles at constant temperature (isothermal step concentration experiments, ISC) in the range 200-350 °C. In a typical run, a stream of He + 3%  $O_2$  (100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min) was fed to the reactor and the catalyst temperature was set at the desired value. After stabilization of the concentration signals a rectangular step feed of NO (1000 ppm) was admitted at constant temperature, by means of a pneumatically actuated four-way valve by keeping constant the overall flow rate. The NO<sub>x</sub> storage proceeded up to nearly steady state, then the inlet NO concentration was stepwise decreased to zero. After few minutes the O2 concentration was also decreased in a stepwise manner to zero. This sequence does not modify the results if compared to the simultaneous NO and O<sub>2</sub> removal. The catalyst reduction was accomplished by imposing stepwise changes in the H<sub>2</sub> concentration ( $0 \rightarrow 4000$  ppm and  $4000 \rightarrow 0$  ppm) at the reactor inlet with a second four-way valve. In each step the total gas flow was always maintained constant with He as balance. A stream of Ar was also present in the feed gases as inert tracer: accordingly the lag time of the system could be carefully evaluated, but it has always been found negligible. Note that the lean and rich phases have been separated with a He purge in between in order to analyze separately the catalytic performances of the investigated catalyst during the lean and rich phases, and to avoid any spurious effects due to temperature variations upon lean/rich switches. 1% (v/v) H<sub>2</sub>O and 0.1% (v/v) CO<sub>2</sub> are always present in the feed; even if the CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O concentrations are lower than in real applications, their effects are still representative [26]. On the other hand the use of such a low CO<sub>2</sub> concentration allowed us to detect even small quantities of CO<sub>2</sub> produced by soot oxidation.

The catalyst samples have been conditioned by performing a few lean-rich cycles in order to obtain a reproducible behavior (typically 3–4 cycles). Since soot is progressively consumed during each test, several catalyst/soot batches have been used in order to allow a proper comparison among the various experiments. In particular all the data hereafter reported refer to a soot content near 7–8% (w/w), i.e. very close to the initial loading (10%, w/w).

Finally, in order to analyze the effect of soot on the thermal stability of the stored NO<sub>x</sub>, TPD experiments of the stored NO<sub>x</sub> have been performed in the presence and in the absence of soot. For this purpose NO<sub>x</sub> have been stored onto the catalytic surface in the absence of soot, and then the NO<sub>x</sub>-dosed catalyst has been mixed with soot. The storage phase has been carried out at 350 °C with NO (1000 ppm) in He+O<sub>2</sub> (3%, v/v), H<sub>2</sub>O (1%, v/v) and CO<sub>2</sub> (0.1%, v/v) until a steady-state behavior is attained; then the sample has been cooled at room temperature in He, extracted from the reactor and mixed with soot (10%, w/w) under atmospheric air. TPD experiments have been carried out by heating the prepared catalyst–soot mixture at a rate of 10 °C/min in He+H<sub>2</sub>O (1%, v/v) + CO<sub>2</sub> (0.1%, v/v) from room temperature to 500 °C. The results collected with the catalyst/soot mixture have been compared with those obtained in analogous experiments performed in the absence of soot.

Further details on the experimental procedure and apparatus can be found elsewhere [18–20].

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Effect of temperature

The NO<sub>x</sub> storage-reduction over PtBa/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> has been investigated in the temperature range 200–350 °C in the absence and in the presence of soot, and the results are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively.

## 3.1.1. Adsorption phase

The NO<sub>x</sub> adsorption has been carried out with 1000 ppm of NO in the presence of O<sub>2</sub> (3%, v/v). At the lowest investigated temperature (200 °C) and in the absence of soot (Fig. 1A), upon NO admission to the reactor (t=0 s) NO is immediately observed at the reactor outlet. The NO concentration increases with time and eventually reaches the inlet NO concentration value after 1500 s. No significant NO<sub>2</sub> evolution is observed at this temperature. At t = 2200 s the NO inlet concentration is switched off; after the switch a tail is observed in the NO concentration profile, due to the desorption of weakly adsorbed NO<sub>x</sub> species. The amount of NO<sub>x</sub> stored at this temperature up to the end of the dose (steady-state) is near 0.29 mmol/g<sub>cat</sub>, as apparent from Fig. 3 (trace A, dotted line) which shows the amounts of NO<sub>x</sub> stored as a function of time-on-stream. These amounts are calculated from the NO<sub>x</sub> concentration curves showed in Fig. 1A (the lag time of the apparatus has been found to be negligible, see Section 2).

Upon NO admission, an increase in the  $CO_2$  concentration is also observed, from the background level of 1000 ppm (Fig. 1A). In particular a peak is initially observed; then the  $CO_2$  concentration decreases to its background level at the end of the pulse. The increase in the  $CO_2$  outlet concentration is due to the decomposition of surface carbonates upon  $NO_x$  uptake [12], in line with the stoichiometry of the following reaction (3):

$$BaCO_3 + 2NO + (3/2)O_2 \rightarrow Ba(NO_3)_2 + CO_2$$
 (3)

As a matter of fact, by subtracting from the  $CO_2$  concentration trace the concentration of  $CO_2$  estimated from the  $NO_x$  uptake according to the stoichiometry of reaction (3), a net  $CO_2$  concentration trace is obtained (see Fig. 1A) that closely resembles the inlet  $CO_2$  concentration value (1000 ppm). This indicates that the evolution of  $CO_2$  is uniquely related to the formation of nitrates species at the expense of carbonates, according to the stoichiometry of reaction (3). Along similar lines, after NO shutoff a small  $CO_2$  uptake is observed, due to the occurrence of the reverse of reaction (3).

The NO<sub>x</sub> storage behavior of the catalyst is affected by the temperature. The NO<sub>x</sub> breakthrough increases from few seconds at 200 °C (Fig. 1A) to 25 s at 300 °C and 40 s at 350 °C (Fig. 1C and E). Besides the amounts of NO<sub>x</sub> stored up to steady-state increase with temperature, from 0.28 mol/g<sub>cat</sub> at 200 °C to 0.34 mol/g<sub>cat</sub> at 300 °C and 0.59 mol/g<sub>cat</sub> at 350 °C (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The NO<sub>2</sub> concen-



**Fig. 1.** Lean-rich cycles performed over PtBa/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the absence of soot at different temperatures in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O (1%, v/v) and CO<sub>2</sub> (0.1%, v/v). Lean phase (A, C and E): 1000 ppm NO+O<sub>2</sub> (3%, v/v) in He; rich phase (B, D and F): 4000 ppm H<sub>2</sub> in He. Other experimental conditions: catalyst weight 60 mg, total flow rate 100 cc/min at 0 °C and 1 atm. Panels A and B: *T* = 200 °C; panels C and D: *T* = 300 °C; panels E and F: *T* = 350 °C.



**Fig. 2.** Lean-rich cycles performed over PtBa/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/soot mixture at different temperatures in the presence of  $H_2O(1\%, v/v)$  and  $CO_2(0.1\%, v/v)$ . Lean phase (A, C and E): 1000 ppm NO +  $O_2(3\%, v/v)$  in He; rich phase (B, D and F): 4000 ppm H<sub>2</sub> in He. Other experimental conditions: 60 mg of catalyst + 6 mg of soot, total flow rate 100 cc/min at 0 °C and 1 atm. Panels A and B: T = 200 °C; panels C and D: T = 300 °C; panels E and F: T = 350 °C.



**Fig. 3.** Amounts of adsorbed NO<sub>x</sub> versus time over  $PtBa/Al_2O_3$  (dotted lines) and over  $PtBa/Al_2O_3$ /soot mixture (solid lines) at different temperatures.

tration at the reactor outlet measured at the end of the NO<sub>x</sub> dose (steady-state) also increases with temperature. It has been suggested that NO<sub>2</sub> is precursor in the storage of NO<sub>x</sub>, in line with the occurrence of a "nitrate" pathway for the storage of NO<sub>x</sub> (i.e. NO oxidation to NO<sub>2</sub> followed by NO<sub>2</sub> adsorption in the form of nitrates via a disproportion reaction) [13]. Accordingly it is speculated that in the investigated temperature range the amounts of NO<sub>x</sub> adsorbed at steady-state are in thermodynamic equilibrium with the gasphase NO<sub>2</sub> concentration, whose formation in our experimental conditions is kinetically controlled and hence increases with temperature (the reactor outlet NO<sub>2</sub> concentration is in all cases far from chemical equilibrium, being near 135 ppm at 350 °C vs. the equilibrium value of 550 ppm at the same temperature). Accordingly in the investigated temperature range the amounts of stored NO<sub>x</sub> increases with temperature, as opposite to what expected from the thermodynamics of adsorption which is an exothermic process.

The hypothesis of a thermodynamic control on the amounts of  $NO_x$  stored at steady state is also in line with data obtained with different inlet NO concentration (see later on). Indeed also in this case, as will be discussed below, the amount of  $NO_x$  stored at steady state are related with the  $NO_2$  concentration. On the other hand,

#### Table 1

Stored NO<sub>x</sub>, desorbed NO<sub>x</sub> (1300 s after NO<sub>x</sub> shutoff) and percentage of desorbed NO<sub>x</sub>/stored NO<sub>x</sub> ratio at different temperatures, in the absence and in the presence of soot (NO 1000 ppm, H<sub>2</sub>O 1% v/v, CO<sub>2</sub> 0.1% v/v).

Temperature (°C)	Without soot			With soot		
	Stored NO <sub>x</sub> (mmol/g <sub>cat</sub> )	Desorbed $NO_x$ after NO shutoff (mmol/g <sub>cat</sub> )	Desorbed NO <sub>x</sub> /stored NO <sub>x</sub> (%)	Stored NO <sub>x</sub> (mmol/ $g_{cat}$ )	Desorbed NO <sub>x</sub> after NO shutoff (mmol/g <sub>cat</sub> )	Desorbed NO <sub>x</sub> /stored NO <sub>x</sub> (%)
200	0.28	0.09	34.0	0.20	0.09	43.8
300	0.34	0.02	7.2	0.29	0.06	19.6
350	0.59	0.06	10.0	0.39	0.10	27.2

since other routes may be involved in the  $NO_x$  adsorption process, e.g. direct NO uptake on the catalyst surface, etc., factors other than thermodynamics involving gas phase  $NO_2$  may govern the amounts of  $NO_x$  stored at steady state. These aspects are still under debate and further studies are required for a better comprehension of the phenomena.

Finally, the increase in the  $NO_2$  concentration with temperature also leads to a decrease of the  $NO/NO_2$  molar ratio calculated at the end of the storage phase, from 59 at 200 °C down to 6.4 at 350 °C.

Temperature also affects the rate of adsorption, as expected. An index of the average  $NO_x$  adsorption rate can be derived from the slope of the adsorption curves in Fig. 3. Notably, in the initial part the curves are almost superimposed since the rate of  $NO_x$  adsorption is limited by the NO feed supply (almost complete NO uptake is initially observed at any temperature). Then the curves diverge and increase with a slope that, at fixed amounts of adsorbed  $NO_x$ , increases with temperature.

The results obtained when the same experiments have been carried out in the presence of soot are shown in Fig. 2A, C and E. Also in the presence of soot the NO<sub>x</sub> outlet concentration shows a dead time and then increases approaching the asymptotic values corresponding to the NO inlet concentration. The NO<sub>x</sub> breakthrough increases with temperature but it is always lower than in the absence of soot. Along similar lines the amounts of NO<sub>x</sub> stored up to steady-state (see Fig. 3, solid lines) increase with temperature (from 0.20 mmol/g<sub>cat</sub> at 200 °C, up to 0.40 mmol/g<sub>cat</sub> at 350 °C), but the amounts of NO<sub>x</sub> stored in the presence of soot are always lower than those measured in the absence. At high temperatures the NO<sub>2</sub> concentration measured at steady state is also lower in the presence of soot (e.g. 60 ppm vs. 140 ppm at 350 °C in the presence and in the absence of soot, respectively) and accordingly higher NO/NO<sub>2</sub> ratios are measured.

Hence the data clearly indicate that soot reduces the  $NO_x$  storage capacity of the catalyst, in line with our previous data [23] and with results of Sullivan et al. [22] and Pieta et al. [29]. As previously suggested, the decrease in the  $NO_x$  storage capacity of the catalyst at steady state is primarily related to the decrease in the  $NO_2$  concentration.

Finally, the presence of soot also decreases the rate of  $NO_x$  adsorption, as apparent from the comparison of the slopes of the adsorption curves collected at the same temperature in the presence and in the absence of soot at fixed amounts of stored  $NO_x$  (Fig. 3). This is likely associated to the decrease in the  $NO_2$  concentration, which hence influences the rate of the  $NO_x$  storage.

The presence of soot also influences the thermal stability of the adsorbed NO<sub>x</sub> species. As indeed shown in Figs. 1 and 2, upon NO shut off at the end of the adsorption phase (He purge) a tail is observed in the NO<sub>x</sub> concentration and this decreases the amounts of NO<sub>x</sub> which have been stored up to steady-state. The amounts of  $NO_x$  which have been desorbed at the various temperatures (calculated in all cases 1300s after the NO shut off) are reported in Table 1. It is noted that in the absence of soot near 10% and 7% of the initially stored  $NO_x$  are decomposed after the NO shut off at 350 and 300 °C, respectively; a higher fraction is calculated at 200 °C (near 34%). In the presence of soot, the relative amounts of NO<sub>x</sub> desorbed at 350 °C and 300 °C are 2–3 times higher than in its absence, being near 27.2% (vs. 10%) and 19.6% (vs. 7.2%) of those initially adsorbed, respectively. Relatively minor differences are observed at 200 °C (43.8% vs. 34%). Very similar conclusions are derived when the absolute amounts of  $NO_x$  are considered, instead of the percentage values (see Table 1).

In spite of the fact that the data at  $300 \degree C$  seems to be slightly off-set since a minimum is observed in the absolute amounts of NO<sub>x</sub> evolved at this temperature (see Table 1), still the various effects are clearly visible. Indeed (i) in all cases a significant NO<sub>x</sub> desorption is

observed, and (ii) the presence of soot decreases both the amounts and the thermal stability of the  $NO_x$  adsorbed species. These points will be further discussed later in the following.

During NO<sub>x</sub> storage in the presence of soot, the evolution of CO<sub>2</sub> is also observed (Fig. 2). As already discussed, this is due to the decomposition of surface carbonates on the catalytic surface upon nitrates formation in correspondence of the NO admission (reaction (3)). However, in the presence of soot, CO<sub>2</sub> may also be formed due to soot combustion according to the stoichiometry of reactions (4a) and (4b):

$$NO_2 + C \rightarrow NO + CO$$
 (4a)

$$\mathrm{CO} + (1/2)\mathrm{O}_2 \to \mathrm{CO}_2 \tag{4b}$$

where NO<sub>2</sub> is formed upon NO oxidation and CO<sub>2</sub> is formed upon CO oxidation at Pt sites. As a matter of fact, soot oxidation experiments by NO<sub>2</sub> carried out in the absence of the catalyst pointed out a relevant CO formation, in line with literature indications [30]. During our experiments CO formation is not observed, likely because CO is oxidized to CO<sub>2</sub> by O<sub>2</sub> at Pt sites.

Accordingly reactions (4a) and (4b) imply the consumption of NO<sub>2</sub> and a decrease of its concentration at the reactor outlet. In order to determine the amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> produced upon soot oxidation, the "net" CO<sub>2</sub> production has been calculated as previously indicated, and the results are shown in Fig. 2. In the presence of soot a net CO<sub>2</sub> production is observed, which is negligible at 200 °C (Fig. 2A), but it is appreciable at 300 °C and 350 °C where the trace of the net CO<sub>2</sub> is roughly 50 ppm and 130 ppm above that of the background, respectively.

Notably, soot oxidation occurs only after NO addition to the reactor, since in the presence of oxygen alone no net  $CO_2$  formation is observed. Hence NO<sub>2</sub>, formed upon NO oxidation, is suggested to be the actual oxidizing agent of soot, accordingly to reactions (4a) and (4b), and in line with many authors [16,22,31], although the participation of the stored NO<sub>x</sub> is also likely, as will be discussed later on.

#### 3.1.2. Regeneration phase

After NO<sub>x</sub> adsorption and helium purge, the reduction of the stored NO<sub>x</sub> has been carried out at the same temperature of adsorption (200, 300 and 350 °C) by admission of H<sub>2</sub> in He in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. The results obtained upon reduction of the stored NO<sub>x</sub> at different temperatures in the absence and in the presence of soot are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively.

On the soot-free catalyst, upon the step addition of  $H_2$  (at t = 0 s) at 200 °C (Fig. 1B), the  $H_2$  outlet concentration profile shows a dead time during which it is completely consumed and then it increases with time. During  $H_2$  consumption, the evolution at first of  $N_2$  and then of  $NH_3$  is seen at the reactor outlet, together with a consumption of CO<sub>2</sub>. No formation of other products (e.g.  $N_2O$ ) has been detected in appreciable amounts. The evolution of  $N_2$  and  $NH_3$ , and the consumption of CO<sub>2</sub> as well, are in line with the overall stoichiometry of the following reactions (5) and (6):

$$Ba(NO_3)_2 + 5H_2 + CO_2 \rightarrow BaCO_3 + N_2 + 5H_2O$$
(5)

$$Ba(NO_3)_2 + 8H_2 + CO_2 \rightarrow BaCO_3 + 2NH_3 + 5H_2O$$
 (6)

which consider the re-adsorption of  $CO_2$  onto the Ba sites once  $NO_x$  has been reduced.

The observed temporal evolution of the reduction products (with nearly complete nitrogen selectivity at the beginning of the rich phase followed by ammonia formation) is due to the occurrence of a two-steps in series process for the reduction of the stored NO<sub>x</sub> by H<sub>2</sub> already proposed in previous studies of our group [32–34]. The suggested pathway involves a first fast step during which the stored NO<sub>x</sub> react with H<sub>2</sub> to give NH<sub>3</sub>, followed by the

slower reaction of ammonia with residual nitrates to form nitrogen. The observed products evolution with time is due to an  $H_2$  front which develops and travels along the reactor. Accordingly,  $NH_3$  is formed at the  $H_2$  front and reacts with the  $NO_x$  stored downstream the front, leading to  $N_2$  formation, which accordingly is immediately detected at the reactor exit. When the front reaches the end of the catalytic bed, there are no  $NO_x$  stored downstream and this leads to the evolution of ammonia, which accordingly follows that of  $N_2$ .

Similar results have been obtained (in the absence of soot) at the other temperatures, i.e.  $300 \degree C$  and  $350 \degree C$  (Fig. 1D and F). However, upon increasing the temperature it is observed that: (i) the amounts of the evolved reduction products increase due to the larger amounts of stored NO<sub>x</sub> with temperature (Fig. 3); and (ii) the overall nitrogen selectivity of the reduction process increases with temperature, from roughly 35% at 200 °C up to 85% at 350 °C. This is in line with the occurrence of the two-steps pathway previously suggested for N<sub>2</sub> formation [32–34]: by increasing the temperature the second step of the reaction which represents the r.d.s of the process (the reaction of ammonia with nitrates to give nitrogen) becomes faster and this drives the nitrogen selectivity of the reaction.

The results obtained upon reduction of the stored  $NO_x$  at the same temperatures in the presence of soot are shown in Fig. 2B, D and F. A comparison with the results obtained in the absence of soot (Fig. 1B, D and F) indicates that the presence of soot does not influence significantly the reduction of the stored  $NO_x$ .

# 3.2. Effect of NO inlet concentration on the $NO_x$ storage/reduction and soot oxidation

## 3.2.1. Lean phase

The effect of the NO inlet concentration on the simultaneous  $NO_x$  and soot removal over the model  $PtBa/Al_2O_3$  catalyst has been addressed by performing lean-rich cycles at 350 °C in the presence of  $CO_2$  (0.1%, v/v) and  $H_2O$  (1%, v/v) with 250, 500 and 1000 ppm of NO in the feed ( $NO_{in}$ ) during the lean phase.

The results obtained in the case of 1000 ppm NO inlet concentration in the absence and in the presence of soot are shown in Figs. 1E and 2E, respectively, and have been previously discussed. Fig. 4 shows the results obtained at  $350 \,^{\circ}$ C in terms of NO<sub>x</sub> adsorbed during the lean phase as a function of time in the case of the different NO inlet concentrations both in the absence (dotted lines) and in the presence (solid lines) of soot. As previously reported in the case of Fig. 3, these amounts are calculated from the NO<sub>x</sub> concentration curves of Figs. 1 and 2.

As shown in Fig. 4, in all cases the amounts of stored  $NO_x$ increase with time reaching an asymptotic value corresponding to the steady-state conditions. In the absence of soot (dotted lines), the amounts of stored NO<sub>x</sub> decrease with the NO inlet concentration. A NO<sub>x</sub> storage capacity near  $0.59 \text{ mmol/g}_{cat}$  has been measured at steady state when 1000 ppm of NO are fed to the reactor (trace A), which decreases to 0.37 mmol/g<sub>cat</sub> for a NO inlet concentration value of 250 ppm (trace C). The NO<sub>2</sub> outlet concentration at steadystate also decreases upon decreasing the inlet NO concentration. The lower  $NO_x$  storage capacity which is observed upon decreasing the NO inlet concentration is primarily related to the lower concentration of NO<sub>2</sub> produced, as already discussed in the case of the effect of temperature. In fact, in line with the hypothesis of thermodynamic control of the NO<sub>x</sub> storage at steady state, the amounts of stored NO<sub>x</sub> are related to the NO<sub>2</sub> concentration. Also, the increase of the NO inlet concentration (and of NO<sub>2</sub> concentration as well) increases the rate of the  $NO_x$  adsorption, as revealed by the slopes of the adsorption curves of Fig. 4 (dotted lines) in the region where the storage is not limited by the supply of NO.

**Fig. 4.** Amounts of adsorbed NO<sub>x</sub> versus time over PtBa/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (dotted lines) and over PtBa/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/soot mixture (solid lines) at different NO inlet concentrations.

Finally, upon NO and  $O_2$  shutoff a tail in the NO<sub>x</sub> concentration is observed, as already discussed in the case of the effect of temperature, indicating the decomposition of weakly adsorbed NO<sub>x</sub> species. The amounts of NO<sub>x</sub> desorbed after the NO shutoff (Table 2) decreases with the NO inlet concentration (0.06 and 0.03 mmol/g<sub>cat</sub> for NO = 1000 and 250 ppm, respectively), but are very similar if normalized to the amounts of NO<sub>x</sub> initially stored (near 8–10%).

The presence of soot influences the storage of  $NO_x$ , as revealed by the comparison of the adsorption curves obtained in the absence and in the presence of soot (Fig. 4, dotted lines vs. solid lines). In the presence of soot the amounts of adsorbed  $NO_x$  decreases upon decreasing the NO inlet concentration, from 0.39 down to  $0.27 \text{ mmol NO}_x/g_{cat}$ , and these amounts are always lower than those observed with the soot-free catalyst. The presence of soot also decreases the NO2 concentration measured at steady-state at the exit of the reactor, if compared to the soot-free catalyst (data not shown). Accordingly in the presence of soot higher NO/NO2 ratios are calculated at any investigated NO inlet concentration value. As previously discussed, this is related to the involvement of NO<sub>2</sub> in the soot combustion according to reactions (4a) and (4b). Along to the lines previously discussed, the decrease in the  $NO_x$  storage capacity of the catalyst at steady state in the presence of soot is primarily explained by the lower NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations obtained in these cases.

The rate of  $NO_x$  adsorption also decreases upon decreasing the NO inlet concentration and upon addition of soot (compare the slopes of the adsorption curves at various NO inlet concentrations, and in the presence/absence of soot at fixed stored  $NO_x$ , respectively). Again, this is likely associated to the effect of the  $NO_2$  concentration on the rate of  $NO_x$  adsorption.

Finally, also from these experiments it is clear that soot has a significant influence on the stability of the adsorbed  $NO_x$ . In fact near 25–30% of the  $NO_x$  stored up to steady-state are desorbed in this case after NO shutoff (Table 2). These amounts are roughly three times higher than those calculated in the absence of soot.

## 3.2.2. Regeneration phase

The reduction of the NO<sub>x</sub> stored at 350 °C by using different NO inlet concentration has been investigated as well. The results, here not shown for the sake of brevity, were in all cases very similar to those obtained in the case of Fig. 1B (adsorption with NO = 1000 ppm at 350 °C, absence of soot) and Fig. 2B (adsorption with NO = 1000 ppm at 350 °C, presence of soot). The results obtained in the absence and in the presence of soot point out that



## Table 2

Stored NO<sub>x</sub>, desorbed NO<sub>x</sub> (1300 s after NO<sub>x</sub> shutoff) and percentage of desorbed NO<sub>x</sub>/stored NO<sub>x</sub> ratio at different NO inlet concentration, in the absence and in the presence of soot (Temperature 350 °C, H<sub>2</sub>O 1% v/v, CO<sub>2</sub> 0.1% v/v).

NO inlet concentration (ppm)	Without soot			With soot		
	Stored NO <sub>x</sub> (mmol/g <sub>cat</sub> )	Desorbed NO <sub>x</sub> after NO shutoff (mmol/g <sub>cat</sub> )	Desorbed NO <sub>x</sub> /stored NO <sub>x</sub> (%)	Stored NO <sub>x</sub> (mmol/g <sub>cat</sub> )	Desorbed NO <sub>x</sub> after NO shutoff (mmol/g <sub>cat</sub> )	Desorbed NO <sub>x</sub> /Stored NO <sub>x</sub> (%)
250	0.37	0.03	8.32	0.27	0.08	30.1
500	0.50	0.04	8.14	0.31	0.07	24.1
1000	0.59	0.06	10.0	0.39	0.10	27.2

the presence of soot does not influence significantly the reduction of the stored  $NO_x$ .

#### 3.3. Interaction of the stored NO<sub>x</sub> with soot

The results reported above pointed out that the presence of soot influences the storage of  $NO_x$  over  $PtBa/Al_2O_3$ . In fact soot (i) decreases the NO<sub>x</sub> storage capacity of the catalyst, and (ii) favors the decomposition of the stored  $NO_x$  species. These conclusions apply when  $NO_x$  are stored at different temperatures and when different values of the NO inlet concentration are used. On the other hand, the reduction of the stored  $NO_x$  (i.e. catalyst regeneration) is not significantly influenced by the presence of soot, if one neglect a small increase in the N<sub>2</sub> selectivity. During NO<sub>x</sub> storage, combustion of soot also takes place if the temperature is high enough, i.e. at 300 °C and above. Evidence has been provided in this case for a soot oxidation pathway involving NO<sub>2</sub>, formed upon NO oxidation, that is well recognized in the literature [16,22,31]. However, the participation of adsorbed NO<sub>x</sub> species in the combustion of soot cannot be ruled out, as also suggested in previous papers [23]. In order to better analyze these aspects, experiments have been carried out in which the interaction of soot with NO<sub>x</sub> adsorbed on the catalyst surface is investigated. For this purpose TPD experiments have been carried out in which the thermal stability and reactivity of NO<sub>x</sub> species stored over the PtBa/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst has been studied in the presence and in the absence of soot. As previously discussed, the amounts of NO<sub>x</sub> that are stored at steady state on the catalyst in the presence and in the absence of soot are different. Accordingly, in order to compare catalyst samples with the same amounts of stored NO<sub>x</sub>, the NO<sub>x</sub> have been accumulated in the absence of soot in one batch of catalyst and then the sample has been divided into two portions: one has been mixed with soot prior the TPD experiment, while the second (not mixed with soot) has been used as a reference (see Section 2).  $NO_x$  have been stored by contacting the catalyst with NO/O2 at 350 °C up to steady-state; as indicated in previous studies only nitrates are formed in this case on the catalyst surface [13,35].

The results of the TPD runs (carried out in the presence of water and  $CO_2$ ) are shown in Fig. 5A (absence of soot) and B (presence of soot). In the case of the soot-free PtBa/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst (Fig. 5A), decomposition of nitrates is observed starting from 260 °C with the evolution of small amounts of NO. Above 350 °C (the adsorption temperature) the NO concentration rapidly increases and a maximum is observed at 500 °C, i.e. corresponding to the end of the heating ramp. Accordingly this is not a genuine TPD peak but it is determined by the maximum temperature during the heating ramp. The catalyst has been kept at this temperature until complete evolution of the products.

Above 350 °C the NO evolution is accompanied by that of O<sub>2</sub> in similar amounts; minor quantities of NO<sub>2</sub> are also observed with peak maximum of 65 ppm at 470 °C. After the TPD run, a reducing treatment has been carried out with a stream of H<sub>2</sub> (0.4%, v/v, in He + 1%, v/v, H<sub>2</sub>O + 0.1%, v/v, CO<sub>2</sub>) at 350 °C to reduce the residual nitrates, if any. No reaction products have been detected, thus indi-

cating that all the adsorbed nitrate species have been decomposed during the TPD run.

The overall amounts of NO,  $O_2$  and  $NO_2$  evolved, estimated by integration of the TPD peaks, are well in line with the stoichiometry of the following reactions:

 $Ba(NO_3)_2 + CO_2 \rightarrow BaCO_3 + 2NO + (3/2)O_2$  (7)

$$Ba(NO_3)_2 + CO_2 \rightarrow BaCO_3 + 2NO_2 + (1/2)O_2$$
 (8)

with reaction (7) prevailing over reaction (8). Besides, in line with the stoichiometry of reactions (7) and (8), an uptake of  $CO_2$  is also observed in correspondence with nitrate decomposition, due to Ba–carbonates formation at the expense of nitrates. Worth to note that the presence of  $CO_2$  (1000 ppm) in the flowing gases does not appreciably affect the decomposition of the surface nitrates, as pointed out by dedicated TPD experiments carried out in the presence and in the absence of  $CO_2$ . This is in line with the lower acidity of  $CO_2$  if compared to  $NO_x$  towards adsorption onto the Ba sites.

The results of the TPD experiment of Fig. 5A are very similar to those previously obtained over a similar catalyst sample, and reported elsewhere [23]. However, as opposite to the previous results, in this case a small NO evolution is observed below  $350 \,^{\circ}$ C, i.e. below the temperature of NO<sub>x</sub> adsorption. This is likely related to the different procedure used in this case, which involves



**Fig. 5.** TPD run after NO<sub>x</sub> adsorption at 350 °C (1000 ppm NO+O<sub>2</sub> (3%, v/v) in He+H<sub>2</sub>O (1%, v/v) + CO<sub>2</sub> (0.1%, v/v)) over (A) PtBa/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst; (B) PtBa/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/soot mixture.

the exposure of the nitrated catalyst to air for several hours before the TPD run, leading to water/ $CO_2$  adsorption from the atmosphere. This may have affected the stability of the stored nitrates: in fact in several TPD runs carried out with no exposure of the catalyst to the atmosphere after  $NO_x$  adsorption, the nitrate decomposition onset was always observed very close to the temperature of adsorption. The reasons for which the thermal stability of nitrates is affected by exposure to the atmosphere are not well understood so far, although it has already been shown elsewhere that the features of the stored  $NO_x$  are affected by surface hydration already at room temperature [36].

Fig. 5B shows the results of the TPD experiments carried out after mixing the catalyst with soot. A comparison with Fig. 5A clearly shows that the presence of soot favors the decomposition/reduction of the stored nitrates. Indeed in this case a significant release of NO and  $O_2$  is observed in the temperature range 260–350 °C, i.e. below the adsorption temperature of 350 °C. The decomposition of nitrates is then completed above 350 °C, with a peak maximum near 485 °C. Notably, a different product distribution is observed in the presence of soot, since the amounts of oxygen are markedly lower if compared to that of NO; besides, no NO<sub>2</sub> evolution is observed. Finally, no significant CO<sub>2</sub> uptake is observed.

The data shown in Fig. 5 clearly indicate that the presence of soot favors the reduction of the stored nitrates, while soot is being oxidized. In fact in the presence of soot the NO evolution is shifted to lower temperatures: based on the amounts of evolved NO it appears that roughly 20% of the initially adsorbed nitrates have been decomposed below  $350 \,^{\circ}$ C (i.e. the temperature of adsorption) in the presence of soot, while only 7% in the case of the soot-free sample (Fig. 5A). Besides, the NO peak maximum is observed at lower temperatures, i.e. near  $485 \,^{\circ}$ C vs. above  $500 \,^{\circ}$ C. The oxidation of soot upon nitrate decomposition leads to BaCO<sub>3</sub> which accordingly prevents the uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> from the gas phase (reactions (7) and (8)).

The effect of soot on the stability of the stored nitrates has also been pointed out by the ISC experiments discussed above (Figs. 1 and 2) showing that the amounts of NO<sub>x</sub> released during the He purge, after the NO dose, are significantly higher in the presence of soot. These results imply a direct reaction between the stored nitrates and soot, which can be likely explained invoking a certain degree of mobility of the surface nitrates. In fact it has been suggested that nitrates, adsorbed on the Ba component of the catalyst, are rather mobile in the presence of a reducing center [16,37]. Hence it may be argued that the soot particles may behave as reducing centers towards nitrates thus activating their surface mobility. When nitrates contact a soot particle, carbon is oxidized according to the following reaction:

$$C + Ba(NO_3)_2 \rightarrow BaCO_3 + 2NO + (1/2)O_2 \tag{9}$$

which results in the formation of NO and  $O_2$ , as indeed observed during the TPD experiment carried out in the presence of soot, and of carbonates species whose formation accounts for the lack of a significant  $CO_2$  net uptake from the gas phase in the TPD experiment with the catalyst/soot mixture.

Reaction (9) obviously also implies a partial reduction of the stored nitrates. As a matter of fact, TPD data provide clear evidence for the occurrence of reduction of nitrates by soot (reaction (9)). In fact, below 350 °C of the TPD run of Fig. 5B (i.e. before the onset of nitrate thermal decomposition) the concentration of the evolved products obeys the stoichiometry of reaction (9), and the O/N atomic ratio in the evolved products is very close to the stoichiometric value. Besides no uptake of  $CO_2$  is observed below 350 °C (as expected from the occurrence of reactions (7) or (8)), due to  $CO_2$  formation upon soot oxidation (reaction (9)).

Above 350 °C, nitrate thermal decomposition also occurs, and hence the stoichiometry of reaction (9) is no longer satisfied. In

fact above 350 °C the O/N ratio in the products (considering both NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>) is higher that that expected from the stoichiometry of reaction (9). As a matter of fact, the calculated oxygen/nitrogen atomic ratio of the gaseous products evolved during the entire TPD in the presence of soot (Fig. 5B) is O/N = 1.7, which is lower than the stoichiometric O/N value of 2.5 of the nitrate decomposition (reactions (7) or (8)). This value is indeed calculated from the TPD of the stored nitrates without soot (Fig. 5A, O/N = 2.6).

Accordingly TPD data indicate that adsorbed nitrates are able to oxidize soot below the temperature of their thermal decomposition, following the stoichiometry of reaction (9) which implies the direct reaction of the nitrates ad-species with soot. This pathway parallels the oxidation of soot by NO<sub>2</sub> that occurs in the presence of gas-phase NO<sub>2</sub>, i.e. upon the NO<sub>x</sub> storage during lean/rich operation of the NSR catalyst.

The suggested mechanism implying the direct interaction between nitrate ad-species and soot has analogies with the pathway proposed for nitrate reduction during lean/rich operation of NSR catalysts. Indeed in that case it has been suggested that nitrates spill over the surface towards the Pt sites where they are reduced by the reductant [38,39], the driving force for this process being the presence of a reduced Pt site. Along similar lines, the presence of soot (a reductant) is believed to be the driving force for the mobility of the nitrates which may eventually oxidize soot according to the stoichiometry of reaction (9). Notably, in the suggested mechanistic proposals concerning the nitrate-soot interaction, the role of Pt (and of Pt–Ba couples) has not yet been clarified, and work is presently in progress in our labs to elucidate such aspects.

## 4. Concluding remarks

The present study pointed out that the presence of soot influences the  $NO_x$  storage properties of the investigated  $PtBa/Al_2O_3$ catalyst, when estimated in the presence of water and CO<sub>2</sub>. In fact soot leads to an appreciable decrease of both the  $NO_x$  storage capacity and the rate of  $NO_x$  adsorption. This effect is seen at different temperatures and when different values of the NO inlet concentration are used in the experiments. During  $NO_x$  storage, soot oxidation also occurs at 300 °C and above, thanks to the presence of NO<sub>2</sub> formed upon NO oxidation over Pt sites. In fact the NO<sub>2</sub> concentration at the reactor outlet in the presence of soot is significantly lower than that observed in the absence of soot, thus pointing out the involvement of NO<sub>2</sub> in soot oxidation. The decrease of the NO<sub>2</sub> concentration may explain also the observed decline in the NO<sub>x</sub> storage properties of the catalyst. In fact, in line with the occurrence of a "nitrate" pathway for the storage of  $NO_x$  (i.e. NO oxidation to NO<sub>2</sub> followed by NO<sub>2</sub> adsorption in the form of nitrates via a disproportion reaction), Ba and soot compete for reaction with NO<sub>2</sub>, as suggested by Sullivan et al. [22], leading to the observed decrease in the  $NO_x$  storage properties. On the other hand, the reduction of the stored  $NO_x$  is not significantly affected by the presence of soot, although some minor changes in the N<sub>2</sub> selectivity (a slight increase in the presence of soot) have been observed. These aspects deserve however further investigation.

Finally, it is worth of note that the adsorbed  $NO_x$  oxidize soot at temperature well below those corresponding to their thermal decomposition originating  $NO_2$  in the gas phase. This has been shown by TPD experiments in which the reactivity/thermal decomposition of nitrates has been investigated in the presence and in the absence of soot. It has been found that the presence of soot favors the decomposition and the reduction of the stored nitrates, while soot is oxidized. In fact the presence of soot shifts the decomposition/reaction of the stored nitrates at lower temperatures, and the stoichiometry of the released products reflects the occurrence of a partial reduction of the initially stored  $NO_x$ , as well as the oxidation of soot. Hence a direct reaction between the stored nitrates and soot has been suggested, that has been explained on the basis of the surface mobility of the adsorbed nitrates. This soot oxidation pathway involves surface species and parallels the NO<sub>2</sub>-soot oxidation that occurs in the presence of gas-phase NO<sub>2</sub>.

## Acknowledgement

The financial support of MUR – PRIN project 2007HHCZP4 is acknowledged.

## References

- [1] T. Johnson, Platinum Met. Rev. 52 (2008) 23.
- [2] R. Allansson, P.G. Blakeman, B.J. Cooper, H. Hess, P.J. Silcock, A.P. Walker, SAE Paper SP-1673 2002-01-428, 2002.
- [3] S.J. Jelles, M. Makkee, J.A. Moulijn, Top. Catal. 16 (2001) 269.
- [4] Toyota Patent, European Patent Application No. 01107629.6, 2001.
- [5] K. Nakatani, S. Hirota, S. Takeshima, K. Itoh, T. Tanaka, SAE Paper SP-1674 2002-01-0957, 2002.
- [6] N. Miyoshi, S. Matsumoto, K. Katoh, T. Tanaka, J. Harada, N. Takahashi, K. Yokota, M. Sugiura, K. Kasahara, SAE Technical Paper 950809, 1995.
- [7] S. Matsumoto, Catal. Today 29 (1996) 43.
- [8] N. Takahashi, H. Shinjoh, T. Iijima, T. Szuki, K. Yamazaki, K. Yokota, H. Suzuki, N. Miyoshi, S. Matsumoto, T. Tanizawa, T. Tanaka, S. Tateishi, K. Kasahara, Catal. Today 27 (1996) 63.
- [9] H. Shinjoh, N. Takahashi, K. Yokota, M. Sugiura, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 15 (1998) 189.
- [10] S. Roy, A. Baiker, Chem. Rev. 109 (2009) 4054.
- [11] W.S. Epling, L.E. Campbell, A. Yezerets, N.W. Currier, J.E. Parks II, Catal. Rev. 46 (2004) 163.
- [12] L. Lietti, P. Forzatti, I. Nova, E. Tronconi, J. Catal. 204 (2001) 175.

- [13] I. Nova, L. Castoldi, L. Lietti, E. Tronconi, P. Forzatti, F. Prinetto, G. Ghiotti, J. Catal. 222 (2004) 377.
- [14] I. Nova, L. Lietti, L. Castoldi, E. Tronconi, P. Forzatti, J. Catal. 239 (2006) 244.
- [15] F. Frola, M. Manzoli, F. Prinetto, G. Ghiotti, L. Castoldi, L. Lietti, J. Phys. Chem. C 112 (2008) 12869.
- [16] A.L. Kustov, M. Makkee, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 88 (2009) 263.
- [17] C.-N. Millet, R. Chédotal, P. Da Costa, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 90 (2009) 339.
- [18] L. Castoldi, R. Matarrese, L. Lietti, P. Forzatti, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 64 (2006) 25.
- [19] R. Matarrese, L. Castoldi, L. Lietti, P. Forzatti, Top. Catal. 42-43 (2007) 293.
- [20] R. Matarrese, L. Castoldi, L. Lietti, P. Forzatti, Catal. Today 136 (2008) 11.
- [21] J. Suzuki, S. Matsumoto, Top. Catal. 28 (2004) 171.
- [22] J.A. Sullivan, O. Keane, A. Cassidy, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 75 (2007) 102.
   [23] L. Castoldi, N. Artioli, R. Matarrese, L. Lietti, P. Forzatti, Catal. Today 157 (2010)
- 384.
  [24] N. Miyoshi, T. Tanizawa, K. Kasahara, S. Tateishi, European Patent Application 0 669 157 A1, 1995.
- [25] R. Matarrese, L. Castoldi, L. Lietti, P. Forzatti, Top. Catal. 52 (2009) 2041.
- [26] I. Nova, L. Castoldi, L. Lietti, E. Tronconi, P. Forzatti, Catal. Today 75 (2002) 431.
- [27] A. Setiabudi, M. Makee, J.A. Moulijn, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 50 (2004) 185.
- [28] N. Nejar, M. Makkee, M.J. Illàn-Gómez, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 75 (2007) 11.
- [29] I.S. Pieta, M. García-Diéguez, C. Herrera, M.A. Larrubia, L.J. Alemany, J. Catal. 270 (2010) 256.
- [30] B.A.A.L. van Setten, M. Makkee, J.A. Moulijn, Catal. Rev. 43 (2001) 489.
- [31] K. Krishna, M. Makkee, Catal. Today 114 (2006) 48.
- [32] L. Lietti, I. Nova, P. Forzatti, J. Catal. 257 (2008) 270.
- [33] I. Nova, L. Lietti, P. Forzatti, Catal. Today 136 (2008) 128.
- [34] P. Forzatti, L. Lietti, I. Nova, Energy Environ. Sci. 1 (2008) 236.
- [35] I. Nova, L. Castoldi, L. Lietti, E. Tronconi, P. Forzatti, F. Prinetto, G. Ghiotti, SAE Technical Paper 2005-01-1085, 2005.
- [36] L. Castoldi, L. Lietti, P. Forzatti, S. Morandi, G. Ghiotti, F. Vindigni, J. Catal. (2010), doi:10.1016/j.jcat.2010.09.026.
- [37] A.J. Paterson, D.J. Rosenberg, J.A. Anderson, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 138 (2001) 429.
- [38] R.D. Clayton, M.P. Harold, V. Balakotaiah, C.Z. Wan, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 90 (2009) 662.
- [39] D. Bhatia, M.P. Harold, V. Balakotaiah, Catal. Today 151 (2010) 314.