

European Journal of Heart Failure doi:10.1093/eurjhf/hfq045 REVIEW

Assessing and grading congestion in acute heart failure: a scientific statement from the Acute Heart Failure Committee of the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology and endorsed by the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine

Mihai Gheorghiade¹, Ferenc Follath², Piotr Ponikowski³, Jeffrey H. Barsuk⁴, John E.A. Blair⁵, John G. Cleland⁶, Kenneth Dickstein^{7,8}, Mark H. Drazner⁹, Gregg C. Fonarow¹⁰, Tiny Jaarsma¹¹, Guillaume Jondeau¹², Jose Lopez Sendon¹³, Alexander Mebazaa^{14,15}, Marco Metra¹⁶, Markku Nieminen¹⁷, Peter S. Pang¹⁸, Petar Seferovic¹⁹, Lynne W. Stevenson²⁰, Dirk J. van Veldhuisen²¹, Faiez Zannad²², Stefan D. Anker²², Andrew Rhodes²³, John J.V. McMurray²⁴, and Gerasimos Filippatos^{25*}

¹Center for Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA; ²Department of Medicine, University Hospital, Zürich, Switzerland; ³Department of Cardiology, Military Hospital, Wroclaw, Poland; ⁴Division of Hospital Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA; ⁵Department of Cardiology, Wilford Hall Medical Center, San Antonio, TX, USA; ⁶Department of Academic Cardiology, University of Hull, Castle Hill Hospital, Hull, UK; ⁷Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway; ⁸Institute of Internal Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; ⁹University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA; ¹⁰Division of Cardiology, University of California Los Angeles David Geffen School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ¹¹Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; ¹²Service de Cardiologie, Hôpital Bichat, Paris, France; ¹³Cardiology Department, Hospital Universitario La Paz, Madrid, Spain; ¹⁴Hospital Lariboisière, Paris, France; ¹⁵U942 INSERM, University Paris Diderot, Paris, France; ¹⁶Department of Cardiology, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy; ¹⁷Department of Medicine, Section of Cardiology, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland; ¹⁸Department of Emergency Medicine and Center for Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA; ¹⁹Department of Cardiology II, University Institute for Cardiology, Campus Virchow-Klinikum, Charité University Feinberg Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; ²¹Henri Poincaré University of Nancy, Nancy, France; ²²Department of Cardiology, Campus Virchow-Klinikum, Charité Universitäsmedizin, Berlin, Germany; ²³Department of Intensive Care Medicine, St George's Hospital, London, UK; ²⁴British Heart Foundation Cardiovascular Research Centre, University of Glasgow, Scotland, UK; and ²⁵Heart Failure Unit, Department of Cardiology, Athens U

Received 3 January 2010; revised 29 January 2010; accepted 5 February 2010

Patients with acute heart failure (AHF) require urgent in-hospital treatment for relief of symptoms. The main reason for hospitalization is congestion, rather than low cardiac output. Although congestion is associated with a poor prognosis, many patients are discharged with persistent signs and symptoms of congestion and/or a high left ventricular filling pressure. Available data suggest that a pre-discharge clinical assessment of congestion is often not performed, and even when it is performed, it is not done systematically because no method to assess congestion prior to discharge has been validated. Grading congestion would be helpful for initiating and following response to therapy. We have reviewed a variety of strategies to assess congestion which should be considered in the care of patients admitted with HF. We propose a combination of available measurements of congestion. Key elements in the measurement of congestion include bedside assessment, laboratory analysis, and dynamic manoeuvres. These strategies expand by suggesting a routine assessment of congestion and a pre-discharge scoring system. A point system is used to quantify the degree of congestion. This score offers a new instrument to direct both current and investigational therapies designed to optimize volume status during and after hospitalization. In conclusion, this document reviews the available methods of evaluating congestion, provides suggestions on how to properly perform these measurements, and proposes a method to quantify the amount of congestion present.

Keywords Acute heart failure • Congestion • Score • Risk assessment

* Corresponding author. Tel: +30 210 5832195, Fax: +30 210 8104367, Email: geros@otenet.gr

Published on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. All rights reserved. © The Author 2010. For permissions please email: journals.permissions@oxfordjournals.org.

Introduction

Acute heart failure (AHF) is defined as new-onset or worsening HF signs and symptoms requiring urgent therapy, most commonly in the hospital setting.^{1–3} Signs and symptoms usually improve markedly during hospitalization, however mortality during admission remains high, ranging from 5 to 15% or more.^{2,4–8} Many patients are managed by non-specialists and most patients have one or more serious co-morbid conditions that contribute to poor outcome and exclude patients from participation in clinical trials. Of those patients who survive to discharge, a further 10–15% will die within 6–12 weeks and about one-third will be re-admitted for a variety of reasons; often HF.^{2,4,5}

Available data suggest that the main reason for hospitalization for worsening HF is related to the symptoms (dyspnoea or breathlessness) of congestion, manifested also by signs [e.g. jugular venous distension (JVD), rales, and oedema] of congestion, rather than low cardiac output.^{4,5,9–11} Although congestion is the main reason for hospitalization, many patients are discharged without losing body weight and with persistent signs of congestion.^{10,12} This may be a particular problem in US hospitals where admissions are much shorter than in Europe. Congestion is associated with a poor prognosis and is an important target for therapy.^{13,14}

To the best of our knowledge, no systematic method to assess congestion prior to discharge has been proposed by available guidelines or research studies.^{15–17} This document reviews the available methods of evaluating congestion, provides suggestions on how to properly perform these measurements, and proposes a method to quantify the amount of congestion present.

Congestion in acute heart failure

Pathophysiology

Clinical congestion in HF is defined as a high left ventricular diastolic pressure (LVDP) associated with signs and symptoms of HF such as dyspnoea, rales, and oedema. Elevation of LVDP in HF patients without overt clinical congestion has been termed 'haemodynamic congestion'.¹² Often, haemodynamic congestion precedes clinical congestion by days or even weeks.^{18–22} Thus, clinical congestion may be the 'tip of the iceberg' of the haemodynamic derangements that precede symptoms (*Figure 1*). In fact, in chronic HF, even severe haemodynamic congestion rarely causes rales and/or radiographic pulmonary oedema.^{23,24} This may be related to several adaptive pathophysiological changes such as increases in alveolar capillary membrane thickness, increased lymphatic drainage, and/or pulmonary hypertension.

Theoretically, haemodynamic congestion may contribute to the progression of HF by further activating neurohormones and by causing subendocardial ischaemia, resulting in myocardial necrosis/apoptosis and/or secondary mitral insufficiency by its effects on LV geometry (changing it from an ellipsoid to a sphere).^{25–28} In addition, elevated right atrial pressure may contribute to the cardio-renal syndrome through reduction of the perfusion gradient across the kidneys.^{29–32}

Systemic congestion (xVD, cedema) Provide grant and the state of the

Figure I Pathophysiology of congestion. RV, right ventricular; RA, right atrial; PA, pulmonary artery; PCWP, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure; LA, left atrial; LV, left ventricular; LVDP, left ventricular diastolic pressure; JVD, jugular venous distension.

Prognosis

Clinical congestion has prognostic importance in HF patients. In a retrospective analysis of the Acute and Chronic Therapeutic Impact of a Vasopressin-2 Antagonist in Congestive Heart Failure (ACTIV in CHF) trial, patients with dyspnoea, oedema, and JVD on admission had a two- to three-fold increase in 60-day mortality compared with those without these features.³³ Retrospective analysis of the Studies of Left Ventricular Dysfunction (SOLVD) treatment trial demonstrated that signs of congestion in patients with chronic HF were associated with 15 and 43% relative increases in the risk of allcause death and HF hospitalization, respectively, during the mean follow-up period of 32 months.¹³ In a cohort of 146 decompensated HF patients, those with 0, 1-2, and ≥ 3 residual symptoms or signs of congestion (e.g. orthopnoea, JVD, oedema, weight gain, and new increase in baseline diuretics) had 2-year survival rates of 87, 67, and 41%, respectively.¹⁴ When patients admitted with HF were characterized according to their haemodynamic profile, those with evidence of congestion had higher rates of death or death plus urgent transplantation than other clinical profiles.³⁴ The Evaluation Study of Congestive Heart Failure and Pulmonary Artery Catheterization Effectiveness (ESCAPE) study found elevated pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP) and reduced 6 min walk to be the strongest independent predictors in post-discharge mortality after hospitalization for worsened HF among all clinical, haemodynamic, and laboratory variables studied.³⁵ Several other studies have also shown that patients with haemodynamic congestion have poor outcomes.^{36–38}

Therapy

Since congestion is also related to water and sodium retention, the main goal of therapy is to remove excess intravascular and extravascular fluid without further activation of neurohormones and without worsening renal function. In addition, these therapies should not cause myocardial damage. At present, the most widely used and relatively effective therapies for fluid removal are nonpotassium sparing diuretics. Their use, however, has been associated with significant side effects (i.e. hypokalaemia, activation of neurohormones, arterial vasoconstriction, and worsening renal function).^{39–42} Worsening renal function occurs in 34% of hospitalized patients and is associated with poor prognosis.⁴³ Ultrafiltration appears to remove fluid and reduce hospitalizations; however, this fluid removal may or may not be associated with improved survival.⁴⁴ Vasopressin antagonists remove electrolyte-free water by blocking vasopressin receptors in the distal nephron. Analysis of the Efficacy of Vasopressin Antagonism in Heart Failure Outcome Study With Tolvaptan (EVEREST) trial, which studied the effects of tolvaptan vs. placebo in patients hospitalized with worsening HF and LV ejection fraction (LVEF) \leq 40%, demonstrated a significant reduction in symptoms of congestion due to loss in body fluid with tolvaptan; however, mortality and hospitalizations were unaffected when compared with placebo.^{45,46} Moreover, a drug that had a favourable effect on renal function (rolofylline, an adenosine antagonist) did not have a beneficial long-term effect in AHF.^{47,48} It is possible that the best way to keep off excess fluid to avoid decompensation is to improve overall cardiac function using evidence-based therapies such as beta-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, aldosterone receptor antagonists, and cardiac resynchronization therapy, although diuretics may still be necessary to maintain relief from congestion despite these measures.⁴⁹

Assessment of congestion

Available guidelines recommend treatment to improve symptoms and signs of congestion and that patients achieve near-optimal volume status prior to discharge; however, there is no established algorithm for the assessment of congestion.¹⁶ Currently, the gold standard for evaluating haemodynamic congestion in HF patients is cardiac catheterization to measure right atrial pressure and PCWP.⁵⁰ However, the invasive nature of catheterization limits its routine use in practice. No single non-invasive test can accurately detect haemodynamic congestion, and the ability to detect congestion by haemodynamic measurements remains a diagnostic challenge because it usually precedes clinical symptoms. Relying on a limited set of physical examination findings and/or radiographic signs has met with low sensitivity and poor predictive value. In a series of 50 patients with chronic HF, physical signs of congestion [rales, oedema, and elevated jugular venous pressure (JVP)] were absent in 42% of the patients with a measured PCWP >22 mmHg and the combination of these signs showed only a 58% sensitivity in detecting elevated PCWP.²⁴ In another study of 52 patients with chronic HF referred for evaluation for heart transplantation, physical signs (orthopnoea, oedema, rales, third heart sound, and elevated IVP) or radiographic signs (cardiomegaly, vascular redistribution, and interstitial and alveolar oedema) had poor predictive value for identifying patients with elevated PCWP.⁵¹ In another study of 52 chronic HF patients, the presence of JVD, when measured carefully, had the best combination of sensitivity (81%), specificity (80%), and predictive accuracy (81%) for detection of an elevation in PCWP (>18 mmHg).⁵² In the ESCAPE trial, IVD and orthopnoea were the only two findings from history and physical examination associated with an elevated PCWP.53

Proposed pre-discharge assessment of congestion

There are several ways of assessing congestion, which have been evaluated individually. Each measurement in isolation has diagnostic limitations in sensitivity, specificity, and predictive value (*Table 1*). However, no single study has assessed all of these measurements simultaneously. A summary of the advantages and limitations of different methods used for measuring congestion is presented in *Table 2*.

Bedside assessment

Breathlessness/dyspnoea/orthopnoea

Given the dominance of dyspnoea as a presenting symptom, its relief or improvement is an important marker of reduced congestion. At the present time, however, there is no validated method of assessing breathlessness/dyspnoea in HF patients. In the meantime, a consensus proposal has been put forward to facilitate standardization of measurement.⁵⁴ Both a Likert scale and/or visual analogue scale may be used to measure dyspnoea. The Likert and the visual analogue scores are initially measured in the sitting position (60°) and then, if the patient is not already too breathless, in the supine position (20°) after at least 2 min if tolerated. Symptoms should be measured on an absolute scale (e.g. I am very breathless or not at all breathless) rather than a relative scale (e.g. do not ask about whether symptoms have improved) since the latter may be more difficult to interpret as it requires patients to remember their symptomatic state at the time of presentation.

Paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnoea is a symptom that occurs during sleep, causes the patient to wake up with severe breathlessness, and is relieved by sitting upright. Paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnoea is an important symptom which often precedes pulmonary oedema by several nights/days. Similar symptoms can occur in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Table I Diagnostic value of clinical markers of congestion

Sign or symptom	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
Dyspnoea on exertion	66	52	45	27
Orthopnoea	66	47	61	37
Oedema	46	73	79	46
Resting JVD	70	79	85	62
S3	73	42	66	44
Chest X-ray				
Cardiomegaly	97	10	61	_
Redistribution	60	68	75	52
Interstitial oedema	60	73	78	53
Pleural effusion	43	79	76	47

Adapted from Chakko et al.⁵¹ and Butman et al.⁵² JVD, jugular venous distension; S3, third heart sound; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value. All numbers are expressed as percentages.

 Table 2 A summary of the advantages and limitations

 of some of the available methods for measurement of

 congestion

Measurement	Advantages	Limitations
Resting dyspnoea and orthopnoea	Rapid assessment	May be non-cardiac in origin
Dyspnoea on exertion	Provides functional information	May be non-cardiac in origin
Rales	Rapid assessment	Not sensitive or specific for congestion
Jugular venous pressure	Good sensitivity and specificity	Difficult to assess in obesity; intraobserver variation
Oedema	Simple measurement	May not represent congestion; must correlate with jugular venous pressure
Body weight	Simple measurement	Fluctuations may not represent changes in intravascular volume
Serum sodium	Predicts outcomes	
Urea nitrogen	Predicts outcomes	
Natriuretic peptides	Predict outcomes	Do not change acutely (B-type peptides); elevations found in other conditions such as renal disease or cirrhosis
Radiographic congestion		Not sensitive or specific
Orthostatic testing	Important guide for therapy	Complex measurement
Valsalva manoeuvre		Dependent on patient effort; can require specialized equipment
Sublingual nitroglycerin		Impractical in most patients
Portable ultrasound	Evolving technology	Requires training and specialized equipment

Orthopnoea has been shown to correlate with high PCWP with a sensitivity approaching 90%.³⁴ Persistent orthopnoea was associated with a higher rate of hospitalization when patients were followed up for 6 months after discharge.⁵⁵ Orthopnoea can be tested by asking the patient to lie supine for a defined period of time (e.g. 2 min) while monitoring respiratory rate and breathlessness. Supine positioning results in the mobilization of fluid from dependent venous reservoirs in the abdomen and the lower extremities, which increases venous return (\sim 250–500 cc fluid) to the thoracic compartment. As a result, pulmonary venous and capillary pressures rise further, elevating already high right- and left-sided filling pressures. This may result in interstitial pulmonary oedema, reduced pulmonary compliance, increased airway resistance, and dyspnoea. At the time of discharge, excluding those with advanced/end-stage HF, patients should be able to lie supine or with no more than one pillow (unless more pillows are necessary for other medical conditions such as back pain or gastrooesophageal reflux) without developing breathlessness.

Dyspnoea and orthopnoea are symptoms that may or may not be cardiac in origin. The severity of dyspnoea or orthopnoea may reflect the rapidity of the rise in PCWP as well as its absolute value. Further research in this area is needed, given the dominance of breathlessness as a presenting complaint.⁵⁴

Dyspnoea on exertion

Functional capacity has been shown to predict mortality and hospitalizations in HF patients.⁵⁶ As might be expected, dyspnoea on exertion is the most common persisting symptom at discharge.¹⁰ Ideally, patients without locomotor problems should be able to walk for at least 6 min on level ground without undue breathlessness and complete at least 200 m, without postural symptoms of dizziness or light-headedness.

Rales or crepitations

Auscultation of rales may indicate fluid overload but is non-specific. The absence of rales is not a sensitive marker of the absence of congestion.⁵¹ Rales should be examined after the patient has been asked to cough. If rales persist at the time of discharge, it should be noted whether they are due to other conditions such as pneumonia, interstitial fibrosis, chronic bronchitis, asthma, or emphysema or whether persistent congestion due to HF remains.

Jugular venous pressure

Jugular venous pressure reflects right atrial pressure, which usually indicates an elevated PCWP in patients with HF.57 When performed properly and by experienced physicians, JVP estimation is fairly accurate.53,58 Strategies to improve measurement have been suggested.^{58,59} In some individuals with pulmonary hypertension or tricuspid regurgitation, a high JVP is required to maintain adequate left-sided filling pressures, and normalizing JVP prior to discharge is not in the patient's interest.⁶⁰ The hepato-jugular reflux may also be used to assess elevated pressure and is both sensitive and reliable.^{61,62} Measurement of JVP is often limited by patient body habitus such as obesity or respiratory pathology. Although there are limitations, JVP elevation is associated with an increased risk for HF hospitalization, is a simple measurement of congestion, and is thus a potential target to monitor therapy.¹³ However, its use in multi-centre studies is not reliable without adequate audit of clinical expertise in each centre.

Oedema

In HF patients, peripheral oedema is usually associated with a high right atrial pressure that is most commonly due to left-sided HF. Lower extremity oedema frequently improves or resolves with diuretic therapy during hospitalization. Oedema may also redistribute to dependent areas during hospital bed rest (i.e. sacral oedema) and is commonly missed by a casual examination. Apparent improvement without weight loss during hospitalization suggests redistribution of fluids. Therefore, both the sacrum and lower limbs should be examined and weight charts reviewed prior to discharge. A major limitation in using oedema as a measurement of congestion is that it may not be related to high filling pressures, but rather reflect extravascular volume shifts resulting from low plasma oncotic pressure, high vascular permeability, or both.²⁴ An elevated JVP improves the specificity of oedema as a sign of congestion. Recognizing these limitations, at the time of discharge, patients should have no more than trace oedema unless they have preexisting oedema of non-cardiac aetiology (e.g. liver cirrhosis, venous insufficiency, renal failure, and hypoalbuminaemia).

Body weight

Congestion as a result of sodium and water retention in HF patients is often manifested by an increase in body weight. Although a change in body weight observed over time (weeks or months) may be associated with other contributing factors such as malnutrition and deconditioning (cardiac cachexia), daily variation most likely reflects changes in volume status. Thus, an acute change in body weight is a reasonable marker of fluid balance. Measurement of body weight should be performed as consistently as possible (using a standardized scale, preferably with a precision of 50 g, at the same time of the day, post-void, prior to eating, prior to the medication dose, and with patients wearing the same clothing). The scales should stand on a flat, solid surface rather than carpets unless specifically designed for use in that setting.

One limitation to body weight measurement is that fluctuations may not always reflect changes in intravascular volume. For example, in the EVEREST study, patients hospitalized for worsened HF who were receiving the selective vasopressin-2 receptor antagonist tolvaptan had reduced body weight compared with those receiving placebo, but there was no significant effect on peripheral oedema⁴⁵ Another difficulty with using body weight as a measure of congestion is that the degree of absolute weight loss may not be as important in patients with acute hypertensive HF because some of these patients may be euvolaemic with pulmonary but not systemic congestion as a result of volume redistribution.,^{11,52} Absolute weight loss is more important in patients with profound anasarca, who require more fluid removal than patients without. Ideally, patients should be at 'target' or at least at lower body weight compared with admission. However, adequate diuresis may be prevented by worsening renal function and/or hypotension.

Laboratory and radiographic assessment

Blood urea nitrogen

Fluid balance in HF involves a complex interaction between the heart and the kidneys.³² In response to reduced cardiac output, there is a release of neurohormones by the sympathetic nervous system, renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system, and the arginine vasopressin system. This promotes fluid retention by the kidneys, as well as renal and systemic vasoconstriction. Renal dysfunction, as measured by serum creatinine or estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR), consistently predicts poor outcomes in HF in the chronic^{63,64} and acute^{65–68} settings.

Blood urea nitrogen (BUN in the USA and urea in Europe) has been investigated as a potential prognostic marker [urea (in mmol/ L) = BUN (in mg/dL of nitrogen)/2.8].

Urea is produced in the liver as the degradation product of proteins and is filtered by the kidneys. Unlike creatinine, which is actively secreted and not reabsorbed, \sim 40–50% of the filtered urea is reabsorbed, predominantly in the proximal tubule, paralleling reabsorption of sodium and water. Increases in urea in HF may reflect congestion and fluid retention, as well as cardiac and renal dysfunction, whereas elevation in creatinine is more specific for changes in GFR.⁶⁹ Recently, BUN was found to be a better predictor of outcome than creatinine or estimated GFR in AHF.^{70–73} Elevations in BUN disproportionate to the rise in creatinine (20:1 for BUN vs. creatinine in mg/dL or serum urea >10% of the value of serum creatinine in mmol/L) may also reflect dehydration.

Natriuretic peptides

Natriuretic peptides [NPs; brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and N-terminal pro-BNP (NT-proBNP)] are neurohormones specifically secreted from the cardiac chambers in response to volume and pressure overload leading to increased wall tension. High predischarge NP values are strong, independent predictors of death or re-admission after hospitalization for AHF, and incremental to other clinical and laboratory variables.^{74,75} Thus, measurement of NPs prior to discharge can be useful in identifying patients at high risk for adverse events. A decrease in initially high NPs observed in patients with congestion before discharge may be a useful indicator of a reduction in filling pressure.⁷⁶ However, the utility of NP levels may be limited by the fact that their production and release may lag behind acute changes in haemodynamic measurements.⁷⁷ Increases in NP levels are non-specific and may reflect cardiac stress including sepsis, pulmonary disease, and renal dysfunction even when no important cardiac disease is present. In this setting, they may be markers of cardiac stress rather than cardiac disease. This may be why they are better as markers of prognosis than accurate diagnostic tools. Importantly, NPs cannot be used alone to assess congestion but must be evaluated as with most tests in the appropriate clinical context. A patient with high NPs at discharge (NT-proBNP > 1500 pg/mL and BNP > 300 pg/mL) should be considered at high risk of death or re-admission. However, the increase in risk as NP levels rise is linear and use of a single, precise cut-point is not appropriate. Values are best used to describe low, medium, and high risk. Adequately sized studies do not yet exist to provide more precise direction.

Chest radiography

The absence of chest X-ray findings of HF (e.g. cardiomegaly, vascular redistribution, and interstitial and alveolar oedema) does not exclude a high PCWP. Radiographic signs of pulmonary congestion are absent in 53% of the patients with PCWP of 16–29 mmHg and in 39% of the patients with PCWP of \geq 30 mmHg.⁵¹

Dynamic manoeuvres

Orthostasis

The normal haemodynamic response in patients with normal LV function and filling pressures when their position is changed from sitting to standing is a slight reduction in blood pressure (by 4 mmHg systolic and 5 mmHg diastolic) and an increase in heart rate.⁷⁸ In these patients, significant volume depletion or blood loss results in a reduction in preload and cardiac output, manifesting in large changes in blood pressure (greater than equal to -20 mmHg systolic) and heart rate ($\geq 10-30 \text{ b.p.m.}$), depending on baseline conditions and the use of beta-blocking agents. However, in many patients with HF and high filling pressures, orthostatic postural changes may result in improved haemodynamics, potentially leading to relief of subendocardial ischaemia, attenuation of sphericity, and mitral regurgitation, and reductions in preload leading to an increase in cardiac output.²⁵ As a result, these patients may actually have an increase in systolic blood pressure with orthostatic changes. When these patients have lower filling pressures, the orthostatic changes will not change their cardiac output and not have the paradoxical postural increase in blood pressure.⁷⁹ Therefore, in patients with HF with an initial paradoxical increase in blood pressure with orthostasis, documentation of lack of a blood pressure increase may indicate a euvolaemic state. This observation cannot be applied to patients with other forms of cardiomyopathy, such as hypertrophic cardiomyopathy or aortic stenosis, where normal cardiac output is dependent on elevated filling pressures. In addition, blood pressure cannot be measured reliably in patients with atrial fibrillation using standard instruments.

When measuring postural vital signs, clinicians should wait at least 2 min before performing measurements in the supine position, and at least 1 min before measuring vital signs in the upright position in order to allow the postural haemodynamic changes to take effect. Care should be taken to use a properly sized blood pressure cuff and to keep the cuff at the level of the heart.

A major limitation to the use of orthostasis for determining the presence of congestion is that one must know whether the HF patient has a dilated ventricle or other forms of systolic or diastolic failure. Nevertheless, orthostatic changes are of practical importance because they provide a benchmark against which one may measure volume status and diuretic response.

Valsalva manoeuvre

Although generally underutilized in clinical practice, assessment of blood pressure in response to the Valsalva manoeuvre may have a role as a non-invasive bedside tool to evaluate volume status. Bedside sphygmomanometry can provide a qualitative assessment of volume status, and commercially available arterial waveform devices provide a rapid and reliable beat-to-beat measurement of cardiovascular dynamics that can estimate LV filling pressures. Studies have demonstrated a high correlation between the cardiovascular response to the Valsalva manoeuvre and invasively measured ventricular filling pressures in HF patients.^{80,81} The normal blood pressure response to the Valsalva manoeuvre is an initial increase with the onset of straining caused by an acute increase

in intrathoracic pressure (Phase 1), followed by a sharp decrease to below baseline levels as the straining is maintained caused by a decreased venous return and compensatory increase in systemic vascular resistance and heart rate (Phase 2).⁸² After the strain is released, there is a short reduction in arterial pressure due to an acute reduction in intrathoracic pressure (Phase 3), followed by an overshoot in arterial pressure due to increased venous return with a decrease in systemic vascular resistance and heart rate (Phase 4). In patients with mild HF, Phases 1 through 3 are normal, but Phase 4 is absent ('absent overshoot' pattern). In patients with advanced HF, after Phase 1, the increase in blood pressure remains elevated during the entire strain period, returning to baseline after release in Phase 3 ('square wave' pattern). The mechanism behind this abnormal response is the maintenance of LV filling from increased central blood volume that maintains stroke volume, despite changes in intrathoracic pressures.^{80,83}

Bedside sphygmomanometry can assess normal and abnormal responses to the Valsalva manoeuvre, as originally described in 1956.⁸⁴ After the cuff is inflated and maintained at 15 mmHg higher than systolic blood pressure, the Valsalva manoeuvre is performed while Korotkoff sounds are auscultated over the brachial artery. The normal response is when only Phases 1 and 4 are registered, 'absent overshoot' is when only Phases 1 is registered, and the 'square wave' is when only Phases 1 and 2 are registered.⁸⁵ This method has been shown to be clinically useful in ambulatory patients; however, it is dependent on patient effort.⁸⁶

Future directions

Echocardiography and portable ultrasound

Echocardiography is the most commonly used non-invasive tool to assess cardiovascular structure and function but may be misleading in AHF. Compared with NPs, LVEF is a poor predictor of prognosis; however, other echocardiographic parameters may be used to estimate the severity of congestion.⁸⁷ Right and left atrial pressures can also be estimated by echocardiography in expert hands, although with less certainty in AHF.⁷⁰⁻⁷⁴ Estimation of right atrial pressure can be performed using any two-dimensional echocardiography platform by measuring the diameter of the inferior vena cava (IVC).⁸⁸ In a healthy state, IVC diameter collapses upon inspiration and expands during expiration due to the changes in intrathoracic pressure with respiration. High right atrial pressures dilate the IVC and blunt this normal IVC collapsibility. Therefore, small, collapsible IVCs as visualized by echocardiography represent low right atrial pressures, whereas large, non-collapsible IVCs reflect high right atrial pressures. A dilated, non-collapsible IVC was one of a few predictors of readmission after hospitalization for HF in a small observational study.⁸⁹

Left atrial pressure can be estimated by observing diastolic events using Doppler and tissue Doppler imaging. One of the earliest events in diastolic and systolic dysfunction is the reduction in myocardial velocity in early diastole and can be measured by the E'-wave using tissue Doppler imaging. As filling pressures increase, early mitral inflow velocities, as measured by the E-wave using pulse-wave Doppler, also increase. The ratio of E/E' has been found to correlate well with PCWP.^{90–92} In addition, an elevated E/E' ratio has been shown to predict adverse outcomes in HF in small series of patients in expert hands and is complementary to such measurements as BNP and expert clinical assessment.^{93–95} However, patients with mitral regurgitation were excluded from these early studies, and in recent studies, the correlation in this patient subset may be less robust than previously reported.⁹⁶

Ultrasonography of the lungs using an echocardiographic probe is another potentially useful way to assess pulmonary congestion. In patients with pulmonary congestion, images defined as 'ultrasound lung comets' can be visualized by scanning with cardiac probes along the intercostal spaces.⁹⁷ A correlation exists between the number of 'ultrasound lung comets,' pulmonary congestion demonstrated by radiographic signs, interstitial oedema documented by computed tomography, extravascular lung water measured by the indicator dilution technique, and PCWP.^{98,99}

Although echocardiography is a simple method of estimating EF and filling pressures, it is not practical to perform and repeat these measurements on every patient admitted with HF. Portable ultrasound may have promise in routine and serial assessment of HF patients.¹⁰⁰

Thoracic impedance monitoring

Table Varia

Thoracic impedance measurement provided by an external or implanted device has been investigated in recent years.⁹⁵ Several studies have shown that decreasing thoracic impedance correlates with HF hospitalizations.^{19,101,102} There are studies ongoing to determine the clinical effectiveness of outpatient monitoring of

thoracic impedance with the hope of decreasing HF hospitalizations and improving outcomes. Should these techniques prove effective, many patients could be evaluated prior to hospital discharge to confirm euvolaemia.¹⁰³

Blood pool analysis

Another method of assessing volume status is blood volume analysis. This technique uses radioisotopes tagged to red cells or albumin to directly measure blood volume. It correlates with invasive measurements of cardiac filling pressures in patients presenting with decompensated HF.^{104–108} This technique has existed for quite some time, but more clinical trials are needed before it is used to use this to guide therapy.¹⁰⁹

Grading congestion

The above measurements each have their strengths and weaknesses. Some measurements are only predictive of congestion in the context of other congestion findings. Similarly, some measurements predict congestion from right-sided HF, whereas others predict congestion from left-sided HF or biventricular failure.

A systematic approach to grading congestion would be helpful in initiating and following response to therapy. Previous scores have used only clinical examination to evaluate congestion.^{34,110} We propose that a combination of available measurements of congestion be prospectively tested for their ability to predict re-hospitalization after admission for AHF. Once this is established, therapy for fluid removal could be adjusted with the goal of reducing the overall amount of congestion, with re-hospitalization as the measured

e 3 Gra	ding congestion			
able	Score			
	-1	0	1	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••

Bedside assessment					
Orthopnoea ^a		None	Mild	Moderate	Severe/worst
JVP (cm)	<8 and no hepatojugular reflux		8–10 or hepatojugular reflux	11–15	>16
Hepatomegaly	Absent in the setting of normal JVP	Absent	Liver edge	Moderate pulsatile enlargement	Massive tender enlargement extending to midline
Oedema		None	1+	2+	3+/4+
Laboratory					
Natriuretic peptic	les (one)				
BNP		<100	100-299	300-500	>500
NT pro-BNP		<400	400-1500	1500-3000	>3000
Dynamic manoeuvre	es				
Orthostatic testing	Significant decrease in SBP or increase in HR	No change in SBP or HR			
		No difficulty	Mild	Moderate	Severe/worst
6 min walk test	>400 m	300–400 m	200–300 m	100–200 m	<100 m
Valsalva manoeuvre	Normal response		Absent overshoot pattern	Square wave pattern	

Congestion grade: <1, none; 1–7, mild; 8–14, moderate; 15–20, severe. Oedema, in the absence of other cause of oedema. ^aOrthopnoea: 0, absent; mild (use of one pillow); moderate (use of more than one pillow); severe, sleeps in an armchair on in a seated position.

outcome (if the treatment is safe). Key elements in the measurement of congestion include bedside assessment, laboratory analysis, and dynamic manoeuvres, as outlined above and summarized in *Table 3*. A point system is used to quantify the degree of congestion. We anticipate that this model will not be the one finally selected for regular clinical use, but it provides a crucial starting point from which to evolve. Ultimately, a revision of this score offers a new instrument to direct both current and investigational therapies designed to optimize volume status during and after hospitalization.

Summary

Although congestion, not a low cardiac output, is the main cause for hospitalization, many HF patients are discharged with persistent signs and symptoms of congestion and/or a high LV filling pressure. Available data suggest that a pre-discharge clinical assessment of congestion is often not performed, and even when it is performed, it is not done systematically. We have reviewed a variety of strategies to assess congestion which should be considered in the care of patients admitted with HF. These strategies expand upon guideline recommendations for optimizing volume status and inpatient HF performance measures, by suggesting a routine assessment of congestion and a pre-discharge scoring system.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the members of the Board of the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology and the Committee on Acute Heart Failure of the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology for useful comments on the manuscript. Board of the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology: J.M., K.D., P.P., G.F., A. Shah, T.J., S.D.A., M. Cowie, U. Dahlström, I. Ekman, T. Eschenhagen, G. Hasenfuß, S. Janssens, A. Maggioni, Z. Papp, W. Paulus, B. Pieske, M. Ryder, P.S., D.J.V., F.Z., and K. Swedberg. Committee on Acute Heart Failure of the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology: G.F., P.P., P.S., F.Z., D.L.B., P. de Groote, F.F., M.G., G.J., J.L.-S., A.M., M.M., M.N., A.R., and J.L. Vincent.

Conflict of interest: M.G. is a consultant for Abbott Labs, Astellas, AstraZeneca, Bayer Schering Pharma AG, CorThera Inc., Cytokinetics Inc., DebioPharm SA, Errekappa Terapeutici (Milan, Italy), GlaxoSmithKline, Ikaria, Johnson and Johnson, Medtronic, Merck, Novartis Pharma AG, Otsuka Pharmaceuticals, Palatin Technologies, Pericor Therapeutics, Protein Design Laboratories, Sanofi Aventis, Sigma Tau, Solvay Pharmaceuticals, and Trevena Therapeutics, and has received honoraria from Medtronic, Novartis Pharma AG, Otsuka Pharmaceuticals, Sigma Tau, Solvay Pharmaceuticals, Debio-Pharm SA, and Pericor Therapeutics. P.P. has received honoraria from Merck and Biogen. J.G.C. has conducted research in acute heart failure sponsored by Otsuka, MSD, Orion, Corthera, and Biogen. G.C.F. has received research grants from NIH, has received honoraria from AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Medtronic, Merck, Novartis, and Pfizer and has consulted for GlaxoSmithKline, Medtronic, Merck, Novartis, St Jude, Pfizer, Sanofi, and Scios. A.M. is a consultant to Abbott, Orion Pharma, Bayer Pharma, and the Medicine Company and has received lecture fees from Abbott, Guidant, Inverness, and Edwards Life Sciences. M.M. has received

occasional honoraria and travel reimbursement for participation in Advisory Board Meetings and symposia from Corthera, Cardiokine, Merck, and Otsuka. M.N. is a member of the study leadership. P.S.P. is a consultant for Astellas, Bayer, EKR Therapeutics, Johnson and Johnson, the Medicines Company, Otsuka, Palatain Technologies, PDL BioPharma, Pericor Therapeutics, and Solvay Pharmaceuticals, and has received honoraria from Biogen Idec Corthera, Ikaria, and Nile Therapeutics and research support from Merck and PDL Bio-Pharma. L.W.S. has received modest consulting fees from Medtronic Inc. D.J.V. has received consulting fees from Medtronic and Biosite. F.Z. has received consulting honoraria from Servier, AstraZeneca, Pfizer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, Abbott, Relypsa, Resmed, Merck, Daiichi Sankyo, Takeda, Boston Scientific, Medtronic, and Otsuka. S.D.A. has been a consultant for Otsuka Pharma, Biogen Idec Merck Inc., and Solvay and has received honoraria for speaking from Otsuka Pharma. A.R. has received consulting and/or lecturing fees from Abbott, LidCO, and Cheetah.

References

- 1. Dickstein K, Cohen-Solal A, Filippatos G, McMurray JJ, Ponikowski P, Poole-Wilson PA, Stromberg A, van Veldhuisen DJ, Atar D, Hoes AW, Keren A, Mebazaa A, Nieminen M, Priori SG, Swedberg K, Vahanian A, Camm J, De Caterina R, Dean V, Funck-Brentano C, Hellemans I, Kristensen SD, McGregor K, Sechtem U, Silber S, Tendera M, Widimsky P, Zamorano JL, Auricchio A, Bax J, Bohm M, Corra U, della Bella P, Elliott PM, Follath F, Gheorghiade M, Hasin Y, Hernborg A, Jaarsma T, Komajda M, Kornowski R, Piepoli M, Prendergast B, Tavazzi L, Vachiery JL, Verheugt FW, Zannad F. ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2008: the Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2008 of the European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association of the ESC (HFA) and endorsed by the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM). *Eur J Heart Fail* 2008;**10**:933–989.
- Gheorghiade M, Zannad F, Sopko G, Klein L, Pina IL, Konstam MA, Massie BM, Roland E, Targum S, Collins SP, Filippatos G, Tavazzi L. Acute heart failure syndromes: current state and framework for future research. *Circulation* 2005;**112**: 3958–3968.
- Filippatos G, Zannad F. An introduction to acute heart failure syndromes: definition and classification. *Heart Fail Rev* 2007;12:87–90.
- 4. Cleland JG, Swedberg K, Follath F, Komajda M, Cohen-Solal A, Aguilar JC, Dietz R, Gavazzi A, Hobbs R, Korewicki J, Madeira HC, Moiseyev VS, Preda I, van Gilst WH, Widimsky J, Freemantle N, Eastaugh J, Mason J. The EuroHeart Failure survey programme—a survey on the quality of care among patients with heart failure in Europe. Part 1: patient characteristics and diagnosis. *Eur Heart J* 2003;**24**:442–463.
- Gheorghiade M, Abraham WT, Albert NM, Greenberg BH, O'Connor CM, She L, Stough WG, Yancy CW, Young JB, Fonarow GC. Systolic blood pressure at admission, clinical characteristics, and outcomes in patients hospitalized with acute heart failure. JAMA 2006;296:2217–2226.
- Nunez J, Nunez E, Fonarow GC, Sanchis J, Bodi V, Bertomeu-Gonzalez V, Minana G, Merlos P, Bertomeu-Martinez V, Redon J, Chorro FJ, Llacer A. Differential prognostic effect of systolic blood pressure on mortality according to leftventricular function in patients with acute heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail* 12:38–44.
- Ezekowitz JA, Bakal JA, Kaul P, Westerhout CM, Armstrong PW. Acute heart failure in the emergency department: short- and long-term outcomes of elderly patients with heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2008;10:308–314.
- Nieminen MS, Harjola VP, Hochadel M, Drexler H, Komajda M, Brutsaert D, Dickstein K, Ponikowski P, Tavazzi L, Follath F, Lopez-Sendon JL. Gender related differences in patients presenting with acute heart failure. Results from EuroHeart Failure Survey II. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2008;**10**:140–148.
- Adams KF Jr, Fonarow GC, Emerman CL, LeJemtel TH, Costanzo MR, Abraham WT, Berkowitz RL, Galvao M, Horton DP. Characteristics and outcomes of patients hospitalized for heart failure in the United States: rationale, design, and preliminary observations from the first 100,000 cases in the Acute Decompensated Heart Failure National Registry (ADHERE). Am Heart J 2005; 149:209–216.
- O'Connor CM, Stough WG, Gallup DS, Hasselblad V, Gheorghiade M. Demographics, clinical characteristics, and outcomes of patients hospitalized for

decompensated heart failure: observations from the IMPACT-HF registry. J Card Fail 2005;11:200–205.

- Tavazzi L, Maggioni AP, Lucci D, Cacciatore G, Ansalone G, Oliva F, Porcu M. Nationwide survey on acute heart failure in cardiology ward services in Italy. *Eur Heart J* 2006;27:1207–1215.
- Gheorghiade M, Filippatos G, De Luca L, Burnett J. Congestion in acute heart failure syndromes: an essential target of evaluation and treatment. Am J Med 2006;**119**(12 Suppl. 1):S3-S10.
- Drazner MH, Rame JE, Stevenson LW, Dries DL. Prognostic importance of elevated jugular venous pressure and a third heart sound in patients with heart failure. N Engl J Med 2001;345:574–581.
- Lucas C, Johnson W, Hamilton MA, Fonarow GC, Woo MA, Flavell CM, Creaser JA, Stevenson LW. Freedom from congestion predicts good survival despite previous class IV symptoms of heart failure. *Am Heart J* 2000;**140**:840–847.
- 15. Bonow RO, Bennett S, Casey DE Jr, Ganiats TG, Hlatky MA, Konstam MA, Lambrew CT, Normand SL, Pina IL, Radford MJ, Smith AL, Stevenson LW, Bonow RO, Bennett SJ, Burke G, Eagle KA, Krumholz HM, Lambrew CT, Linderbaum J, Masoudi FA, Normand SL, Ritchie JL, Rumsfeld JS, Spertus JA. ACC/AHA clinical performance measures for adults with chronic heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Performance Measures) endorsed by the Heart Failure Society of America. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;46:1144–1178.
- Executive summary: HFSA 2006 Comprehensive Heart Failure Practice Guideline. J Card Fail 2006;12:10–38.
- Werner RM, Bradlow ET. Relationship between Medicare's hospital compare performance measures and mortality rates. JAMA 2006;296:2694–2702.
- Adamson PB, Magalski A, Braunschweig F, Bohm M, Reynolds D, Steinhaus D, Luby A, Linde C, Ryden L, Cremers B, Takle T, Bennett T. Ongoing right ventricular hemodynamics in heart failure: clinical value of measurements derived from an implantable monitoring system. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:565–571.
- Yu CM, Wang L, Chau E, Chan RH, Kong SL, Tang MO, Christensen J, Stadler RW, Lau CP. Intrathoracic impedance monitoring in patients with heart failure: correlation with fluid status and feasibility of early warning preceding hospitalization. *Circulation* 2005;**112**:841–848.
- Chaudhry SI, Wang Y, Concato J, Gill TM, Krumholz HM. Patterns of weight change preceding hospitalization for heart failure. *Circulation* 2007;**116**: 1549–1554.
- Cotter G, Metra M, Milo-Cotter O, Dittrich HC, Gheorghiade M. Fluid overload in acute heart failure-re-distribution and other mechanisms beyond fluid accumulation. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2008;**10**:165–169.
- Milo-Cotter O, Adams KF, O'Connor CM, Uriel N, Kaluski E, Felker GM, Weatherley B, Vered Z, Cotter G. Acute heart failure associated with high admission blood pressure—a distinct vascular disorder? *Eur J Heart Fail* 2007; 9:178–183.
- Mahdyoon H, Klein R, Eyler W, Lakier JB, Chakko SC, Gheorghiade M. Radiographic pulmonary congestion in end-stage congestive heart failure. *Am J Cardiol* 1989;63:625–627.
- Stevenson LW, Perloff JK. The limited reliability of physical signs for estimating hemodynamics in chronic heart failure. JAMA 1989;261:884–888.
- Kono T, Sabbah HN, Stein PD, Brymer JF, Khaja F. Left ventricular shape as a determinant of functional mitral regurgitation in patients with severe heart failure secondary to either coronary artery disease or idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. Am J Cardiol 1991;68:355–359.
- Perna ER, Macin SM, Cimbaro Canella JP, Alvarenga PM, Rios NG, Pantich R, Augier N, Farias EF, Jantus E, Brizuela M, Medina F. Minor myocardial damage detected by troponin T is a powerful predictor of long-term prognosis in patients with acute decompensated heart failure. *Int J Cardiol* 2005;**99**:253–261.
- Horwich TB, Patel J, MacLellan WR, Fonarow GC. Cardiac troponin I is associated with impaired hemodynamics, progressive left ventricular dysfunction, and increased mortality rates in advanced heart failure. *Circulation* 2003;**108**: 833–838.
- Filippatos G, Tilak M, Pinillos H, Uhal BD. Regulation of apoptosis by angiotensin II in the heart and lungs (Review). Int J Mol Med 2001;7:273–280.
- Firth JD, Raine AE, Ledingham JG. Raised venous pressure: a direct cause of renal sodium retention in oedema? *Lancet* 1988;1:1033–1035.
- Mullens W, Abrahams Z, Skouri HN, Francis GS, Taylor DO, Starling RC, Paganini E, Tang WH. Elevated intra-abdominal pressure in acute decompensated heart failure: a potential contributor to worsening renal function? J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;51:300–306.
- Nohria A, Hasselblad V, Stebbins A, Pauly DF, Fonarow GC, Shah M, Yancy CW, Califf RM, Stevenson LW, Hill JA. Cardiorenal interactions: insights from the ESCAPE trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;51:1268–1274.
- Schrier RW, Abraham WT. Hormones and hemodynamics in heart failure. N Engl J Med 1999;341:577–585.

- 33. Gheorghiade M, Gattis WA, O'Connor CM, Adams KF Jr, Elkayam U, Barbagelata A, Ghali JK, Benza RL, McGrew FA, Klapholz M, Ouyang J, Orlandi C. Effects of tolvaptan, a vasopressin antagonist, in patients hospitalized with worsening heart failure: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2004;291: 1963–1971.
- Nohria A, Tsang SW, Fang JC, Lewis EF, Jarcho JA, Mudge GH, Stevenson LW. Clinical assessment identifies hemodynamic profiles that predict outcomes in patients admitted with heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:1797–1804.
- Binanay C, Califf RM, Hasselblad V, O'Connor CM, Shah MR, Sopko G, Stevenson LW, Francis GS, Leier CV, Miller LW. Evaluation study of congestive heart failure and pulmonary artery catheterization effectiveness: the ESCAPE trial. JAMA 2005;294:1625–1633.
- Unverferth DV, Magorien RD, Moeschberger ML, Baker PB, Fetters JK, Leier CV. Factors influencing the one-year mortality of dilated cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol* 1984;54:147–152.
- Stevenson LW, Tillisch JH, Hamilton M, Luu M, Chelimsky-Fallick C, Moriguchi J, Kobashigawa J, Walden J. Importance of hemodynamic response to therapy in predicting survival with ejection fraction less than or equal to 20% secondary to ischemic or nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol* 1990;66: 1348–1354.
- 38. Zile MR, Bennett TD, St John Sutton M, Cho YK, Adamson PB, Aaron MF, Aranda JM Jr, Abraham WT, Smart FW, Stevenson LW, Kueffer FJ, Bourge RC. Transition from chronic compensated to acute decompensated heart failure: pathophysiological insights obtained from continuous monitoring of intracardiac pressures. *Circulation* 2008;**118**:1433–1441.
- Butler J, Forman DE, Abraham WT, Gottlieb SS, Loh E, Massie BM, O'Connor CM, Rich MW, Stevenson LW, Wang Y, Young JB, Krumholz HM. Relationship between heart failure treatment and development of worsening renal function among hospitalized patients. *Am Heart J* 2004;**147**:331–338.
- Schrier RW. Role of diminished renal function in cardiovascular mortality: marker or pathogenetic factor? J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:1–8.
- Hasselblad V, Gattis Stough W, Shah MR, Lokhnygina Y, O'Connor CM, Califf RM, Adams KF Jr. Relation between dose of loop diuretics and outcomes in a heart failure population: results of the ESCAPE trial. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2007; 9:1064–1069.
- Jhund PS, McMurray JJ, Davie AP. The acute vascular effects of frusemide in heart failure. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2000;50:9–13.
- 43. Metra M, Nodari S, Parrinello G, Bordonali T, Bugatti S, Danesi R, Fontanella B, Lombardi C, Milani P, Verzura G, Cotter G, Dittrich H, Massie BM, Dei Cas L. Worsening renal function in patients hospitalised for acute heart failure: clinical implications and prognostic significance. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2008;**10**:188–195.
- 44. Costanzo MR, Guglin ME, Saltzberg MT, Jessup ML, Bart BA, Teerlink JR, Jaski BE, Fang JC, Feller ED, Haas GJ, Anderson AS, Schollmeyer MP, Sobotka PA. Ultrafiltration versus intravenous diuretics for patients hospitalized for acute decompensated heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;49:675–683.
- Gheorghiade M, Konstam MA, Burnett JC Jr, Grinfeld L, Maggioni AP, Swedberg K, Udelson JE, Zannad F, Cook T, Ouyang J, Zimmer C, Orlandi C. Short-term clinical effects of tolvaptan, an oral vasopressin antagonist, in patients hospitalized for heart failure: the EVEREST Clinical Status Trials. *JAMA* 2007;**297**: 1332–1343.
- 46. Konstam MA, Gheorghiade M, Burnett JC Jr, Grinfeld L, Maggioni AP, Swedberg K, Udelson JE, Zannad F, Cook T, Ouyang J, Zimmer C, Orlandi C. Effects of oral tolvaptan in patients hospitalized for worsening heart failure: the EVEREST Outcome Trial. JAMA 2007;297:1319–1331.
- Cleland JG, Coletta AP, Yassin A, Buga L, Torabi A, Clark AL. Clinical trials update from the European Society of Cardiology Meeting 2009: AAA, RELY, PROTECT, ACTIVE-I, European CRT survey, German pre-SCD II registry, and MADIT-CRT. Eur J Heart Fail 2009;11:1214–1219.
- 48. De Luca L, Mebazaa A, Filippatos G, Parissis JT, Bohm M, Voors AA, Nieminen M, Zannad F, Rhodes A, El-Banayosy A, Dickstein K, Gheorghiade M. Overview of emerging pharmacologic agents for acute heart failure syndromes. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2008;**10**:201–213.
- 49. Jourdain P, Jondeau G, Funck F, Gueffet P, Le Helloco A, Donal E, Aupetit JF, Aumont MC, Galinier M, Eicher JC, Cohen-Solal A, Juilliere Y. Plasma brain natriuretic peptide-guided therapy to improve outcome in heart failure: the STARS-BNP Multicenter Study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;49:1733–1739.
- Stevenson LW. Are hemodynamic goals viable in tailoring heart failure therapy? Hemodynamic goals are relevant. *Circulation* 2006;**113**:1020–1027; discussion 1033.
- Chakko S, Woska D, Martinez H, de Marchena E, Futterman L, Kessler KM, Myerberg RJ. Clinical, radiographic, and hemodynamic correlations in chronic congestive heart failure: conflicting results may lead to inappropriate care. Am J Med 1991;90:353–359.

- Butman SM, Ewy GA, Standen JR, Kern KB, Hahn E. Bedside cardiovascular examination in patients with severe chronic heart failure: importance of rest or inducible jugular venous distension. J Am Coll Cardiol 1993;22:968–974.
- Drazner MH, Hellkamp AS, Leier CV, Shah MR, Miller LW, Russell SD, Young JB, Califf RM, Nohria A. Value of Clinician Assessment of Hemodynamics in Advanced Heart Failure: the ESCAPE Trial. *Circ Heart Fail* 2008;**1**:170–177.
- 54. Pang PS, Cleland JG, Teerlink JR, Collins SP, Lindsell CJ, Sopko G, Peacock WF, Fonarow GC, Aldeen AZ, Kirk JD, Storrow AB, Tavares M, Mebazaa A, Roland E, Massie BM, Maisel AS, Komajda M, Filippatos G, Gheorghiade M. A proposal to standardize dyspnoea measurement in clinical trials of acute heart failure syndromes: the need for a uniform approach. *Eur Heart J* 2008;**29**: 816–824.
- Beck da Silva L, Mielniczuk L, Laberge M, Anselm A, Fraser M, Williams K, Haddad H. Persistent orthopnea and the prognosis of patients in the heart failure clinic. *Congest Heart Fail* 2004;**10**:177–180.
- Shah MR, Hasselblad V, Gheorghiade M, Adams KF Jr, Swedberg K, Califf RM, O'Connor CM. Prognostic usefulness of the six-minute walk in patients with advanced congestive heart failure secondary to ischemic or nonischemic cardiomyopathy. Am J Cardiol 2001;88:987–993.
- Drazner MH, Hamilton MA, Fonarow G, Creaser J, Flavell C, Stevenson LW. Relationship between right and left-sided filling pressures in 1000 patients with advanced heart failure. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 1999;**18**:1126–1132.
- Vinayak AG, Levitt J, Gehlbach B, Pohlman AS, Hall JB, Kress JP. Usefulness of the external jugular vein examination in detecting abnormal central venous pressure in critically ill patients. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;**166**:2132–2137.
- Gheorghiade M, Pang PS. Acute heart failure syndromes. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009; 53:557–573.
- 60. Leier CV, Young JB, Levine TB, Pina I, Armstrong PW, Fowler MB, Warner-Stevenson L, Cohn JN, O'Connell JB, Bristow MR, Nicklas JM, Johnstone DE, Howlett J, Ventura HO, Giles TD, Greenberg BH, Chatterjee K, Bourge RC, Yancy CW, Gottleib SS. Nuggets, pearls, and vignettes of master heart failure clinicians. Part 2-the physical examination. *Congest Heart Fail* 2001;**7**:297–308.
- Sochowski RA, Dubbin JD, Naqvi SZ. Clinical and hemodynamic assessment of the hepatojugular reflux. Am J Cardiol 1990;66:1002–1006.
- 62. Wiese J. The abdominojugular reflux sign. Am J Med 2000;109:59-61.
- Dries DL, Exner DV, Domanski MJ, Greenberg B, Stevenson LW. The prognostic implications of renal insufficiency in asymptomatic and symptomatic patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000;35:681–689.
- 64. Hillege HL, Girbes AR, de Kam PJ, Boomsma F, de Zeeuw D, Charlesworth A, Hampton JR, van Veldhuisen DJ. Renal function, neurohormonal activation, and survival in patients with chronic heart failure. *Circulation* 2000;**102**:203–210.
- 65. Gottlieb SS, Abraham W, Butler J, Forman DE, Loh E, Massie BM, O'Connor CM, Rich MW, Stevenson LW, Young J, Krumholz HM. The prognostic importance of different definitions of worsening renal function in congestive heart failure. J Card Fail 2002;8:136–141.
- 66. Krumholz HM, Chen YT, Vaccarino V, Wang Y, Radford MJ, Bradford WD, Horwitz RI. Correlates and impact on outcomes of worsening renal function in patients > or =65 years of age with heart failure. *Am J Cardiol* 2000;85: 1110–1113.
- Smith GL, Vaccarino V, Kosiborod M, Lichtman JH, Cheng S, Watnick SG, Krumholz HM. Worsening renal function: what is a clinically meaningful change in creatinine during hospitalization with heart failure? *J Card Fail* 2003; 9:13–25.
- Cowie MR, Komajda M, Murray-Thomas T, Underwood J, Ticho B. Prevalence and impact of worsening renal function in patients hospitalized with decompensated heart failure: results of the prospective outcomes study in heart failure (POSH). Eur Heart J 2006;27:1216–1222.
- 69. Schrier RW. Blood urea nitrogen and serum cratinine not married in heart failure. *Circ Heart Fail* 2008;**1**:2–5.
- Aronson D, Mittleman MA, Burger AJ. Elevated blood urea nitrogen level as a predictor of mortality in patients admitted for decompensated heart failure. *Am J Med* 2004;**116**:466–473.
- Shenkman HJ, Zareba W, Bisognano JD. Comparison of prognostic significance of amino-terminal pro-brain natriuretic Peptide versus blood urea nitrogen for predicting events in patients hospitalized for heart failure. *Am J Cardiol* 2007; 99:1143–1145.
- 72. Filippatos G, Rossi J, Lloyd-Jones DM, Stough WG, Ouyang J, Shin DD, O'Connor C, Adams KF, Orlandi C, Gheorghiade M. Prognostic value of blood urea nitrogen in patients hospitalized with worsening heart failure: insights from the Acute and Chronic Therapeutic Impact of a Vasopressin Antagonist in Chronic Heart Failure (ACTIV in CHF) study. J Card Fail 2007;13:360–364.
- 73. Klein L, Massie BM, Leimberger JD, O'Connor CM, Pina IL, Adams KF, Califf RM, Gheorghiade M. Admission or Changes in Renal Function During Hospitalization

for Worsening Heart Failure Predicts Postdischarge Survival. *Circ Heart Fail* 2008; **1**:25–33.

- Fonarow GC, Peacock WF, Phillips CO, Givertz MM, Lopatin M. Admission B-type natriuretic peptide levels and in-hospital mortality in acute decompensated heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;49:1943–1950.
- 75. Maisel A, Mueller C, Adams K Jr, Anker SD, Aspromonte N, Cleland JG, Cohen-Solal A, Dahlstrom U, DeMaria A, Di Somma S, Filippatos GS, Fonarow GC, Jourdain P, Komajda M, Liu PP, McDonagh T, McDonald K, Mebazaa A, Nieminen MS, Peacock WF, Tubaro M, Valle R, Vanderhyden M, Yancy CW, Zannad F, Braunwald E. State of the art: using natriuretic peptide levels in clinical practice. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2008;**10**:824–839.
- Baggish AL, van Kimmenade RR, Januzzi JL Jr. The differential diagnosis of an elevated amino-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide level. Am J Cardiol 2008;101:43–48.
- Wu AH, Smith A, Apple FS. Optimum blood collection intervals for B-type natriuretic peptide testing in patients with heart failure. *Am J Cardiol* 2004;**93**: 1562–1563.
- McGee S, Abernethy WB 3rd, Simel DL. The rational clinical examination. Is this patient hypovolemic? JAMA 1999;281:1022–1029.
- Stewart DJ, Cernacek P, Costello KB, Rouleau JL. Elevated endothelin-1 in heart failure and loss of normal response to postural change. *Circulation* 1992;85: 510-517.
- Weilenmann D, Rickli H, Follath F, Kiowski W, Brunner-La Rocca HP. Noninvasive evaluation of pulmonary capillary wedge pressure by BP response to the Valsalva maneuver. *Chest* 2002;**122**:140–145.
- Greenfield JC Jr, Cox RL, Hernandez RR, Thomas C, Schoonmaker FW. Pressureflow studies in man during the Valsalva maneuver with observations on the mechanical properties of the ascending aorta. *Circulation* 1967;35:653–661.
- Felker GM, Cuculich PS, Gheorghiade M. The Valsalva maneuver: a bedside 'biomarker' for heart failure. Am J Med 2006;**119**:117-122.
- Little WC, Barr WK, Crawford MH. Altered effect of the Valsalva maneuver on left ventricular volume in patients with cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* 1985;71: 227–233.
- Knowles JH, Gorlin R, Storey CF. Clinical test for pulmonary congestion with use of the Valsalva maneuver. JAMA 1956;160:44–48.
- Zema MJ, Restivo B, Sos T, Sniderman KW, Kline S. Left ventricular dysfunction– bedside Valsalva manoeuvre. Br Heart J 1980;44:560–569.
- Zema MJ, Caccavano M, Kligfield P. Detection of left ventricular dysfunction in ambulatory subjects with the bedside Valsalva maneuver. Am J Med 1983;75: 241–248.
- Shah KB, Kop WJ, Christenson RH, Diercks DB, Kuo D, Henderson S, Hanson K, Li SY, deFilippi CR. Natriuretic peptides and echocardiography in acute dyspnoea: implication of elevated levels with normal systolic function. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2009;**11**:659–667.
- Kircher BJ, Himelman RB, Schiller NB. Noninvasive estimation of right atrial pressure from the inspiratory collapse of the inferior vena cava. Am J Cardiol 1990;66:493–496.
- Goonewardena SN, Gemignana A, Ronan A, Vasaiwala S, Blair J, Brennan JM, Shah DP, Spencer KT. Comparison of hand-carried ultrasound assessment of the inferior vena cava and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide for predicting readmission after hospitalization for acute decompensated heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol Cardiovasc Imaging 2008;1:595–601.
- Nagueh SF, Middleton KJ, Kopelen HA, Zoghbi WA, Quinones MA. Doppler tissue imaging: a noninvasive technique for evaluation of left ventricular relaxation and estimation of filling pressures. J Am Coll Cardiol 1997;30:1527–1533.
- Nagueh SF, Mikati I, Kopelen HA, Middleton KJ, Quinones MA, Zoghbi WA. Doppler estimation of left ventricular filling pressure in sinus tachycardia. A new application of tissue Doppler imaging. *Circulation* 1998;98:1644–1650.
- Dokainish H, Zoghbi WA, Lakkis NM, Al-Bakshy F, Dhir M, Quinones MA, Nagueh SF. Optimal noninvasive assessment of left ventricular filling pressures: a comparison of tissue Doppler echocardiography and B-type natriuretic peptide in patients with pulmonary artery catheters. *Circulation* 2004;**109**:2432–2439.
- Dokainish H, Zoghbi WA, Lakkis NM, Ambriz E, Patel R, Quinones MA, Nagueh SF. Incremental predictive power of B-type natriuretic peptide and tissue Doppler echocardiography in the prognosis of patients with congestive heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;45:1223–1226.
- Nguyen VT, Ho JE, Ho CY, Givertz MM, Stevenson LW. Handheld echocardiography offers rapid assessment of clinical volume status. Am Heart J 2008;156: 537–542.
- Yu CM, Sanderson JE, Marwick TH, Oh JK. Tissue Doppler imaging a new prognosticator for cardiovascular diseases. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;49:1903–1914.
- Solomon SD, Stevenson LW. Recalibrating the barometer: is it time to take a critical look at noninvasive approaches to measuring filling pressures? *Circulation* 2009;**119**:13–15.

- Jambrik Z, Monti S, Coppola V, Agricola E, Mottola G, Miniati M, Picano E. Usefulness of ultrasound lung comets as a nonradiologic sign of extravascular lung water. *Am J Cardiol* 2004;**93**:1265–1270.
- Nohria A, Mielniczuk LM, Stevenson LW. Evaluation and monitoring of patients with acute heart failure syndromes. *Am J Cardiol* 2005;96:32G-40G.
- Fonarow GC. The Acute Decompensated Heart Failure National Registry (ADHERE): opportunities to improve care of patients hospitalized with acute decompensated heart failure. *Rev Cardiovasc Med* 2003;4(Suppl. 7):S21–S30.
- 100. Brennan JM, Blair JE, Goonewardena S, Ronan A, Shah D, Vasaiwala S, Brooks E, Levy A, Kirkpatrick JN, Spencer KT. A comparison by medicine residents of physical examination versus hand-carried ultrasound for estimation of right atrial pressure. *Am J Cardiol* 2007;**99**:1614–1616.
- Yancy C, Abraham WT. Noninvasive hemodynamic monitoring in heart failure: utilization of impedance cardiography. *Congest Heart Fail* 2003;9:241–250.
- 102. Packer M, Abraham WT, Mehra MR, Yancy CW, Lawless CE, Mitchell JE, Smart FW, Bijou R, O'Connor CM, Massie BM, Pina IL, Greenberg BH, Young JB, Fishbein DP, Hauptman PJ, Bourge RC, Strobeck JE, Murali S, Schocken D, Teerlink JR, Levy WC, Trupp RJ, Silver MA. Utility of impedance cardiography for the identification of short-term risk of clinical decompensation in stable patients with chronic heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:2245–2252.
- Braunschweig F, Ford I, Conraads V, Cowie MR, Jondeau G, Kautzner J, Lunati M, Munoz Aguilera R, Man Yu C, Marijianowski M, Borggrefe M, van Veldhuisen DJ.

Can monitoring of intrathoracic impedance reduce morbidity and mortality in patients with chronic heart failure? Rationale and design of the Diagnostic Outcome Trial in Heart Failure (DOT-HF). *Eur J Heart Fail* 2008;**10**:907–916.

- Prentice TC, Berlin NI, Hyde GM, Parsons RJ, Lawrence JH, Port S. Total red cell volume, plasma volume, and sodium space in congestive heart failure. J Clin Invest 1951;30:1471–1482.
- 105. Reilly WA, French RM, Lau FY, Scott KG, White WE. Whole blood volume determined by radiochromium-tagged red cells; comparative studies on normal and congestive heart failure patients. *Circulation* 1954;**9**:571–580.
- Gunton RW, Paul W. Blood volume in congestive heart failure. J Clin Invest 1955; 34:879–886.
- 107. Anand IS, Ferrari R, Kalra GS, Wahi PL, Poole-Wilson PA, Harris PC. Edema of cardiac origin. Studies of body water and sodium, renal function, hemodynamic indexes, and plasma hormones in untreated congestive cardiac failure. *Circulation* 1989;80:299–305.
- James KB, Troughton RW, Feldschuh J, Soltis D, Thomas D, Fouad-Tarazi F. Blood volume and brain natriuretic peptide in congestive heart failure: a pilot study. Am Heart J 2005;150:984.
- Katz SD. Blood volume assessment in the diagnosis and treatment of chronic heart failure. Am J Med Sci 2007;334:47–52.
- Cody RJ. The need for a sodium retention score in clinical trials of heart failure. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1993;54:7–10.